



A review of technology-assisted self-help and minimal contact therapies for anxiety and depression: Is human contact necessary for therapeutic efficacy?

Michelle G. Newman^{*}, Lauren E. Szkodny, Sandra J. Llera, Amy Przeworski

The Pennsylvania State University, United States

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 15 May 2010

Received in revised form 29 September 2010

Accepted 30 September 2010

Keywords:

Anxiety disorders

Depression

Internet therapy

Web-based therapy

Virtual reality

Palmtop computer assisted therapy

Computer-assisted therapy

ABSTRACT

Technology-based self-help and minimal contact therapies have been proposed as effective and low-cost interventions for anxiety and mood disorders. The present article reviews the literature published before 2010 on these treatments for anxiety and depression using self-help and decreased therapist-contact interventions. Treatment studies are examined by disorder as well as amount of therapist contact, ranging from self-administered therapy and predominantly self-help interventions to minimal contact therapy where the therapist is actively involved in treatment but to a lesser degree than traditional therapy and predominantly therapist-administered treatments involving regular contact with a therapist for a typical number of sessions. In the treatment of anxiety disorders, it is concluded that self-administered and predominantly self-help interventions are most effective for motivated clients. Conversely, minimal-contact therapies have demonstrated efficacy for the greatest variety of anxiety diagnoses when accounting for both attrition and compliance. Additionally, predominantly self-help computer-based cognitive and behavioral interventions are efficacious in the treatment of subthreshold mood disorders. However, therapist-assisted treatments remain optimal in the treatment of clinical levels of depression. Although the most efficacious amount of therapist contact varies by disorder, computerized treatments have been shown to be a less-intensive, cost-effective way to deliver empirically validated treatments for a variety of psychological problems.

© 2010 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

Contents

1. Introduction	90
2. Critical review of the literature	90
3. Use of technology to treat anxiety disorders	90
3.1. Mixed anxiety disorders	90
3.2. Obsessive-compulsive disorder	95
3.3. Panic disorder	96
3.4. Social phobia	97
3.5. Generalized anxiety disorder and posttraumatic stress disorder	97
3.6. Simple phobias	98
3.6.1. Claustrophobia and driving phobia	98
3.6.2. Acrophobia	98
3.6.3. Flight phobia	98
3.6.4. Spider phobia	98
3.6.5. Summary and conclusions for simple phobia	99
4. Technology-based depression treatments	99
4.1. Subthreshold depression	99
4.2. Major depression	99
4.3. Summary and conclusions regarding computer-based depression treatments	100
5. Conclusions and future directions	100
References	101

^{*} Corresponding author. Department of Psychology, 310 Moore Building, The Pennsylvania State University, University Park, PA 16802-3103, United States. Tel.: +814 863 1148; fax: +814 863 7002.

E-mail address: mgn1@psu.edu (M.G. Newman).

1. Introduction

Traditional treatment methods can be both expensive and time consuming. As a result, it has been necessary to develop and implement efficacious, but less time-intensive interventions (Newman, 2000; Newman, Erickson, Przeworski, & Dzus, 2003). Use of technology in psychotherapy has been a cost-effective way to disseminate empirically validated treatments for a wide variety of psychological problems. Studies have employed a range of technological interventions including palmtop computers, desktop computers, and automated telephone-guided therapy systems, Internet therapy, and virtual reality (VR) and exciting developments in technology are ensuring a new wave of technologically administered treatments in the future.

Technology-assisted therapy has many benefits, including increasing access to services for rural individuals, decreasing logistic barriers to treatment, portability (for technologies on hand held devices) and improved self-monitoring (e.g., Newman, Consoli, & Taylor, 1997; Palmer, Bor, & Josse, 2000; Yager, 2001). Further, technology-assisted therapies provide a means for therapists to circumnavigate time-consuming travel and costly logistic challenges that are often associated with the implementation of techniques, such as exposure to flight, heights, or bridges. Technology assisted therapies also provide a means for continued provision of structured instructions on the use of techniques and immediate feedback to clients during the use of techniques. This may improve efficacy of treatment as well as clients' ease of implementation of techniques. Finally, technology-assisted therapies may reduce cost of therapy, thereby improving the accessibility of therapy to individuals who previously may have been unable to afford treatment. Studies have estimated a savings of \$540–\$630 per client when compared with standard individual CBT (Newman, Kenardy, Herman, & Taylor, 1997; Newman, Consoli, & Taylor, 1999).

Numerous published reviews of technology-administered treatments for anxiety and depression have concluded that such treatments are efficacious alternatives to traditional therapy for anxiety and mood disorders (Anderson, Jacobs, & Rothbaum, 2004; Kaltenthaler et al., 2002; Kaltenthaler, Parry, & Beverley, 2004; Kaltenthaler et al., 2006; Krijn, Emmelkamp, Olafsson, & Biemond, 2004; Newman et al., 1997; Proudfoot, 2004). However, only one published review has examined in detail, the degree of therapist contact that is advisable in the treatment of specific anxiety disorders (Newman et al., 2003). Therapist contact is an important variable in understanding whether or not these technologies are cost-effective as well as in determining how best to effectively implement them. The current review adds to Newman et al. (2003) in a number of ways. In this prior review, we did not include studies on virtual reality therapy, or treatments for depression. Further, the prior paper reviewed studies that were published up until the year 2000. Over 100 papers have been published since 2000 examining technology-administered treatments for anxiety and depression, therefore, an updated review of this literature is greatly needed. We have continued to include previously reviewed studies, as these are important in our full understanding of the state of the field. Thus, the present article aims to: (1) discuss different technological applications to psychotherapy for anxiety and mood disorders, (2) comprehensively review the treatment studies that have incorporated these innovative techniques with varying levels of therapist contact through 2009, and (3) provide conclusions regarding the degree of therapist contact that is advisable for specific anxiety and mood disorders. Given the primary purpose of the current review, which focused on technological devices that reduced therapist time, we excluded studies that focused on full-length email, video, or phone therapy.

2. Critical review of the literature

Below we critically review technology-based studies. This section is organized by disorder or condition, and is presented within the context of differing amounts of online or face to face therapist contact,

employing four categorical descriptors modified from those used by Glasgow and Rosen (1978). These descriptors are: (1) self-administered therapy (SA; therapist contact for assessment, at most), (2) predominantly self-help (PSH; therapist contact beyond assessment is for periodic check-ins, teaching clients how to use the self-help tool, and/or for providing the initial therapeutic rationale. If any assistance in the use of therapeutic tools is provided, it does not involve more than 1.5 h of a therapist's time), (3) minimal-contact therapy (MC; active involvement of a therapist, though to a lesser degree than traditional therapy for this disorder, includes any treatment in which the therapist assists the client in the application of specific therapy techniques and that involves more than 1.5 h of a therapist's time), and (4) predominantly therapist-administered treatments (TA; clients have regular contact with a therapist for a typical number of sessions, but the study attempts to determine whether the use of a self-help tool augments the impact of the standard therapy). In a previous review paper (Newman et al., 2003), we found that imposed structure wherein participants engaged a self-help tool in an office or clinic often led to a better outcome compared to programs used at home when employing SA interventions. Therefore, we discriminate between studies in which participants were required to use the program in an experimenter's facility, wherein a participant might need to make an appointment and interact with people before or after using a technological device (referred to as a lab or clinic) versus computer technologies accessed from home or other unstructured settings (see Table 1). We also critically evaluate the ability of these computerized self-administered, and decreased therapist-contact interventions to reduce the mental health burden of each disorder.

3. Use of technology to treat anxiety disorders

Technology-based treatments for anxiety have focused on mixed anxiety disorders, panic disorder, and or agoraphobia, obsessive-compulsive disorder (OCD), generalized anxiety disorder (GAD), post traumatic stress disorder (PTSD), generalized and specific social phobia, and a variety of simple phobias including flight phobia, acrophobia, and spider phobia. Such treatments have tested desktop computer programs used in a clinic or at home and Internet programs accessed from a clinic or home, virtual reality, interactive voice response systems (IVR) and palmtop computer programs. Although most studies examined treatments for clinical levels of each disorder, some also used treatments that targeted prevention and or subclinical disorders.

3.1. Mixed anxiety disorders

Mixed anxiety disorders have been treated mostly with either desktop computers or Internet sites, although one study used a portable biofeedback device to help participants increase their heart rate variability. Computers and Internet sites permit use of multimedia technology including text, video, and audio instruction of techniques, video and audio case examples to model use of therapeutic techniques, and printable therapy worksheets.

For mixed anxiety disorders examining PSH interventions, uncontrolled studies showed improvement in participants treated in the lab with a therapist available at all times to answer questions. Such improvement was noted in response to PSH computer-delivered cognitive behavioral therapy (CBT; Shaw, Marks, & Toole, 1999; White, Jones, & McGarry, 2000), and PSH computer-delivered systematic desensitization plus relaxation (Chandler, Burck, Sampson, & Wray, 1988), with gains maintained up to 8-month follow-up. In addition, a PSH Internet site plus one introductory therapy session was superior to waitlist at post-treatment for students at risk for developing an anxiety disorder (Kenardy, McCafferty, & Rosa, 2003). Also, in two quasi-experimental studies, PSH clinic based computer self-exposure treatments with associated brief contact before and after each use were not significantly different from

Table 1

Author	SH device	How SH Used	Therapist Contact (min)	Sample sizes comparison conditions	Attrition (%)	Compliance rate	FU (months)	Outcome	Main Weaknesses
<i>Mixed Anxiety</i>									
Chandler et al. (1988)	CA clinic	PSH	NR	5 CAE	29% CAE	71% c	8	Improved	1, 4, 5, 6, 7, 9, 10, 12
Shaw et al. (1999)	CA clinic	PSH	NR	23 CCBT	65% CCBT	35% sess	none	PSH>SA	2, 4, 6, 8, 9
White et al. (2000)	CA clinic	PSH	NR	26 CCBT	17% CCBT	83% c	6	Improved	2, 5, 6, 9
Carr et al. (1988)	CA clinic	PSH	90* CAE	20 TDE 20 CAE	5% TDE, 16% CAE	95% CAE c	6	CAE = TDE	3, 6, 8, 9, 10, 12
Kenwright et al. (2001)	CA clinic	PSH	63* CAVE, 444* TDE	54 CAVE 31 TDE	41% CAVE, 40% TDE	NR	none	CAVE = TD	3, 4, 6, 7, 8, 9
(Kenardy, McCafferty, & Rosa, 2003, 2006) †	Int home	PSH	1 brief session	36 IBT 38 WL	14% ICBT, 5% WL	50%* sess	6	ICBT>WL on 3/6 measures	5, 6, 8, 10, 11
Marks et al. (2004)	CA clinic	PSH	76* CAE, 283*TDE, 76* CA AR	37 CAE, 39 TDE, 17 CA AR	43% CA exp, 26/% TD, 5% CA AR	NR	3	CAE = TDE and both>CA AR	6, 8, 9
Hayward et al. (2007)	Int home	MC ph	92* CCBT	32 CCBT	26% CCBT	74% c	1–8	Improved	2, 7, 8, 9,
Kenwright et al. (2004)	Int home vs clinic	MC ph	99* Int clinic, 113* Int home	10 ICBT home, 17 ICBT clinic	16 (37%)	16 visits*	1	ICBT Clinic = ICBT home	3, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 12
Ghosh et al. (1988)	CA clinic BA home	MC	192* CAE, 186* TDE, 0 BA	28 CAE 19 TDE 24 BA	18% CAE 21% TDE 17% BA	NR	3 and 6	CAE = TDE = BA	5, 6, 8, 9,
Schneider et al. (2005)	Int home	MC ph	115 ICBT, 87 ICT	43 ICBT, 21 ICT	23% ICBT, 29% ICT	NR	1	ICBT>ICT at FU	6, 8, 9,
Craske et al. (2009)	CA clinic	TA	457.8* CCBT	261 CCBT	NR	7.63*ß sess	none	Improved	2, 4, 6, 8, 9
Reiner (2008)	Bio home	TA	30 Bio	20 Bio	5% Bio	NR	none	Improved	2, 4, 5, 7, 8, 9, 10, 12
<i>OCD</i>									
Kirkby et al. (2000); Clark et al. (1998)	CA clinic	SA	0 CCBT	13 CCBT	7% CCBT	7.6% didn't complete txs	none	31% improved	2, 4, 6, 8, 9, 10, 12
Mouton-Odum, et al. (2006) †	Int home	SA	0 ICBT	265 ICBT	32–37% after 1 week	Av use 11.7 wks	none	Improved	2, 4, 6, 7, 9, 14
Bachofen et al. (1999)	IVR home	MC Ph	99* IVR	21 IVR	52%<2 sess	48%>1 sess.	none	Improved	2, 4, 5, 6, 8, 9, 10
Marks et al. (1998)	IVR home	MC ph	99* IVR	53 IVR	46% IVR	46%>1 sess	none	> 2 sess = improvement	2, 4, 5, 6, 8, 9, 10
Greist et al. (2002)	IVR home	MC ph	99* IVR, 660* TD	55 IVR 55 TD 66 AR	NR	35%>1 sess	6.5	TD>IVR>AR	6, 8, 9, 10
(Baer et al. 1987, 1988)	Lap and Palm home	TA	As needed	2 CCBT	0 CCBT	NR	22.75	Relapse when computer taken away	1, 5, 6, 8, 9, 10, 12
Nakagawa et al. (2000)	IVR home	TA ph	597* IVR 867* TD	21 IVR 20 TD	50%	50%>1 sess	none	IVR = less therapist time but = TD	3, 4, 5, 7, 8, 9, 10, 12
<i>Panic Disorder</i>									
Chandler et al. (1986)	CA clinic	SA	0	1 CAE	0 CAE	good	8	Improved	1, 4, 6, 7, 8, 9
Farvolden et al. (2005)	Int home	SA	0	1, 2004 ICBT	99% ICBT	3%>half	none	1% improved	2, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, huge attrition
Klein et al. (2008)	Int home	SA	0	6 ICBT	16.7% ICBT	NR	none	Improved	2, 4, 6, 8, 9, 10, 12
Klein & Richards (2001)	Int home	SA	0	11 ICBT 12 No tx	8% ICBT, 0 no tx	NR	none	ICBT>No tx	4, 5, 8, 9, 10, 12
Carlbring et al. (2001)	Int home	PSH em	90*	16 ICBT, 15 WL	20% ICBT 6% WL	NR	none	ICBT>WL	4, 5, 6, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12
Carlbring et al. (2003)	Int home	PSH em	30*	11 ICBT, 11 Int AR	27% ICBT, 18% Int AR	56.3% c	12	Int AR = ICBT	5, 6, 8, 9, 10, 12
Harcourt et al. (1998)	CA clinic	MC	RA present	18 CAVE	5% CAVE	NR	none	Improved	2, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10
Newman et al. (1996)	Palm home	MC	360	1 BCCBT	0 BCCBT	good	3	Improved	1, 5, 6, 8
Richards & Alvarenga (2002)	Int home	MC ph	300*	9 ICT	36% ICT	79% c	3	Improved	2, 5, 8, 9, 10, small sample
Bergström et al. (2009)	Int home	MC em	114*	18 ICBT	10% ICBT	78% sess	6	Improved	2, 6, 8, 9, 10
Carlbring et al. (2006)	Int home	MC em ph	234* ICBT	30 ICBT 30 WL	7% ICBT, 3%WL	80% c	9	ICBT>WL	5, 6, 8, 10, 11
Meuret et al. (2008)	Cap home	MC	300	20 Cap 17 WL	0	100% c	12	Cap>WL	6, 8, 10, 11
Newman et al. (1996); North et al. (1995)	VR clinic	MC	700 VRE	30 VRE 30 WL	0	NR	none	VRE>WL	4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11
Shandley et al. (2008)	Int home	MC em	378.62* em, 7.14 visits GP	53 ICBT + GP 43 ICBT + em	16.3% ICBT + em, 37.7% ICBT + GP	NR	6	ICBT + em = ICBT + GP	3, 6, 8, 10
Carlbring et al. (2005)	Int home	MC em	150* ICBT, 600 TD	24 TDCBT 25 ICBT	12% TDCBT, 12% ICBT	28% ICBT 88% TD c	12	TD = ICBT	6, 8, 10, Low compliance

(continued on next page)

Table 1 (continued)

Author	SH device	How SH Used	Therapist Contact (min)	Sample sizes comparison conditions	Attrition (%)	Compliance rate	FU (months)	Outcome	Main Weaknesses
<i>Panic Disorder</i>									
Kiropoulos et al. (2008)	Int home	MC em	352 ICBT, 568 TD	46 ICBT 40 TD	11 % ICBT, 5% TD	NR	none	TD = ICBT	4, 6, 8, 10
Klein, Austin et al. (2009)	Int home	MC em	205 infreq, em 308 freq em	23 freq em, 25 infreq em	21% freq em, 28% infreq em	NR	none	Freq em = infreq em	4, 6, 8, 9, 10
Klein et al. (2006)	Int home	MC em or ph	332.5 ICBT 245.3 BA, 64.5 IED	18 ICBT, 15 BA, 13 IED	5% ICBT 17% BA, 28% IED	NR	3	ICBT and BA > IED	6, 8, 9, 12 short FU,
Richards et al. (2006)	Int home	MC em	376.3 ICBT, 300.3 Int + SM	12 ICBT, 11 ICBT + SM, 9 IED	17% ICBT, 9% ICBT + SM, and 22% IED	NR	3	ICBT and ICBT + SM > IED	8, 9, 12
Ghosh & Marks (1987)	CA clinic BA home	MC	186* TDE, 72 CAE, 0 BA	15 CAE, 12 TDE, 13 BA	11% CAE, 14% TDE 13% BA	good	3 and 6	CAE = TDE = BA	6, 8, 9, 10, 12
Newman, Kenardy et al. (1997)	Palm home	MC	360 BCCBT, 720 CBT	9 BCCBT, 9 CBT	10% BCCBT, 10% CBT	good.	6	BCCBT = CBT	5, 6, 8, 9, 12
Kenardy, Dow, et al. (2003)	Palm home	MC	360 BCBT, 360 BCCBT, 720 CBT	41 WL, 39 BCBT, 42 CBT 41 BCCBT	19%	NR	6	CBT = BCCBT = BCBT at FU	5, 6, 9
Choi et al. (2005)	VR clinic	MC	216 VRCBT, 288 TDCBT	VRCBT = 20 TDCBT = 20	none	NR	6	TDCBT > VRCBT at FU	6, 8, 10
Vincelli et al. (2003)	VR clinic	MC	480 VRCBT, 720 TDCBT	4 VRCBT 4 TDCBT 4 WL	none	good	none	VRCBT and TDCBT improved WL did not	4, 5, 6, 8, 9, 12
<i>Social Phobia</i>									
Botella, Hofmann, et al. (2004)	Int clinic	SA	0	1 IEX	0	good	1	Improved	1, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 12, 13
Botella et al. (2007)	Int clinic	SA	0	12 IEX	14%	NR	1	Improved	2, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 12, 13
Harris et al. (2002) †	VR clinic	PSH	60	8 VRE 6 WL	20% VRE 13% WL	80% c VR	none	VRE = WL	4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 11, 12, 13, 14
North et al. (1997) †	VR clinic	PSH	65–90	6 VRAE, 8 VRTE	25% VRAE, 0 VRTE	75% c	none	VRAE > VRTE	4, 6, 8, 9, 10, 12, 14
Amir et al. (2008) †	CA clinic	PSH	40	47 Amp, 47 Placebo	NR	NR	none	Amp > placebo	4, 6, 7, 9, 14
Beard & Amir (2008) †	CA clinic	PSH	40	13 Amp, 14 Placebo	NR	NR	none	Amp > placebo	4, 5, 6, 7, 9, 12, 13, 14
Amir, Beard, Taylor et al. (2009)	CA clinic	PSH	40	22 Amp, 22 Placebo	0 Amp 15% placebo	NR	4	Amp > placebo	13, 14
Schmidt et al. (2009)	CA clinic	PSH	40	18 Amp, 18 placebo	0 Amp 10% placebo	NR	4	Amp > placebo	6, 7, 13, 14
Anderson et al. (2003)	VR clinic	MC and TA	360 and 600	2 VRE + CBT	0 VRE + CBT	100% c	8.	Improved	1, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 12
Przeworski & Newman (2004)	Palm home	MC	120	1 palm CBT	0 palm CBT	80%	6	Improved	1, 5, 6, 8, 12, 13
Anderson et al. (2005)	VR clinic	MC	480	10 VRE + CBT	0 VRE + CBT	NR	3	No change on BAT	2, 6, 7, 8, 10, 12
Anderson et al. (2006)	Int home	MC	231.6*	32 ICBT, 32 WL	6% ICBT, 0% WL	56% did all modules	12	ICBT > WL	5, 6, 7, 11, 13
Carlbring, Furmark et al. (2006)	Int home	MC em	180*	26 ICBT, 26 WL	7% ICBTt, 3% WL	61 % did all modules	6	ICBT > WL	5, 6, 8, 9, 11, 13
(Carlbring et al. 2007, 2009)	Int home	MC em ph	150	29 ICBT, 28 WL	3% Int, 0 WL	93% c	12	ICBT > WL	5, 6, 8, 9, 11, 13
Titov et al. (2008a)	Int home	MC em disc	125*	50 ICBT, 49 WL =	22% ICBT, 0 WL	78% c	none	ICBT > WL	4, 5, 6, 8, 9, 11, 13
Titov et al. (2008b)	Int home	MC em disc	127*	41 ICBT, 40 WL	20% ICBT, 0 WL	80% c	none	ICBT > WL	4, 5, 6, 8, 9, 11, 13
Berger et al. (2009)	Int home	MC em	NR	31 ICBT, 21 WL	10% ICBT, 10% WL	57.1% did all modules	none	ICBT > WL	4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 11, 13
Tillfors et al. (2008)	Int home	MC em disc	318 ICBT, 394.8 ICBT + GTDE	19 ICBT + GTDE, 19 ICBT, 23 WL	5% ICBT + GTDE, 5% ICBT	48.6 % did all modules	12	ICBT + TDE = ICBT > WL	5, 6, 8, 9, 13
Gruber et al. (2001)	Palm home	MC	199 BCCBT, 300 GTD	18 BCCBT, 18 GTD, 18 WL	17% BCCBT, 22% GTD, 6% WL	Computer used 20* times	6	BCCBT = GTD FU	6, 8,
Klinger et al. (2005)	VR clinic	TA	540 VRE, 180 GTD	18 VRE, 18 GTD	0	100%	none	VRE = GTD	3, 4, 6, 8, 9, 10, 13
<i>GAD</i>									
Newman et al. (1999)	Palm home	MC adjunct	120	3 Palm CBT	0	Dropped off at end of tx	6	Improved	1, 6, 8, 12
Draper et al. (2008)	Int home	SA ph	NR	3 ICBT	0	NR	5	Improved	1, 5, 6, 8, 9, 12
Amir et al. (2009)	CA lab	PSH	40 min	14 AMP, 15 placebo	0 AMP 15% placebo	NR	4	AMP > placebo	12

Table 1 (continued)

Author	SH device	How SH Used	Therapist Contact (min)	Sample sizes comparison conditions	Attrition (%)	Compliance rate	FU (months)	Outcome	Main Weaknesses
<i>GAD</i>									
Pallavicini et al. (2009)	VR clinic and home	TA	NR	4 VR AR + bio, 4 VR AR, 4 WL	20% VR 0 VR + bio, 0 WL	NR	none	VR AR + bio > VR AR > WL	4, 6, 8, 9, 10, 12
<i>PTSD</i>									
Hirai & Clum (2005)	Int home	SA	0	18 ICBT, 15 WL	28% ICBT, 7% WL	NR	none	ICBT > WL	4, 5, 6, 7, 9, 11, 12
Lange et al. (2000) †	Int home	MC em	NR	20 IEX	13% IEX	NR	1.5	Improved	2, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 14
Klein, Mitchell et al. (2009)	Int home	MC em	238.70	12 ICBT	25% ICBT	75% ICBT c	none	Improved	2, 4, 6, 8, 9, 12, 14
Lange et al. (2001) †	Int home	MC em	NR	13 IEX, 12 WL	13% IEX 20% WL	NR	1.5	IEX > WL	5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 11, 12, 14
Lange et al. (2003) †	Int home	MC em	NR	69 IEX 32 WL	39% IEX 48% WL	low	1.5	IEX > WL	5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 11, 14
Knaevelsrud & Maercker (2007) †	Int home	MC em	NR	49 IEX 47 WL	16% IEX 2% WL	NR	3	IEX > WL	5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 11, 14
Litz et al. (2007)	Int home	MC ph em	NR	17 IED, 14 ICBT	30%	NR	3	ICBT > IED	6, 8, 9, 10, 12
(Ready et al., 2006); (Rothbaum et al., 2001)	VR clinic	TA	900*	21 VRE	28.5%	NR	3 and 6	Improved	2, 6, 8, 9,
Zucker et al. (2009)	Bio home	TA inpatient	30	19 bio, 19 AR	0	NR	none	bio > AR on nonPTSD symptoms	4, 7, 8, 10, 12, 14
<i>Claustrophobia</i>									
Botella et al. (2000)	VR clinic	TA	270–360	4 VRE	0	NR	3	Improved	1, 6, 8, 10, 12,
<i>Driving phobia</i>									
Walshe et al. (2003)	VR clinic	TA	720	7 VRE game reality	0	NR	none	Improved	2, 4, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 12, 13
Wald & Taylor (2003)	VR clinic	TA	480	5 VRE	29%	NR	12	No behavioral change	2, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 12, 13
<i>Acrophobia</i>									
Lamson (1994) †	VR clinic	MC	120	30 VRE	NR	NR	none	Improved	2, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 13, 14
Coelho et al. (2006)	VR clinic	MC	90–120	10 VRE	0	NR	12	Improved	2, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 12
Rothbaum et al. (1995) †	VR clinic	MC	245–280	10 VRE 7 WL	16% VRE 13% WL	NR	none	VRE > WL	4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14
Krijn et al. (2007)	VR clinic	MC	390	13 VRE + CT first, 13 VRE first	0	NR	6	gains not maintained at FU	5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 12, 13
Emmelkamp et al. (2001) †	VR clinic	MC	240	10 VRE then TDE	0	NR	none	VRE = TDE	2, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 12, 13, 14
Emmelkamp et al. (2002)	VR clinic	MC	180	16 TDE 17 VRE	0	NR	6	VRE = TDE	6, 7, 8, 9, 12
Krijn, Emmelkamp, Biemond, et al. (2004)	VR clinic	MC	270	14 VRE HP 10 VRE LP, 11 WL	21% HP 70% LP 18% WL	NR	6	HP = LP VRE > WL	6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 12,
Ressler et al. (2004)	VR clinic	MC	70–90	10 placebo, 8 50 mg DCS, 9 500 mg DCS	0	NR	3	DCS > placebo, 50 mg DCS = 500 mg DCS	6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 12,
<i>Flying phobia</i>									
Bornas et al. (2002)	CA lab	MC	222.5*	21 CAE	13% Study 2	all completed	none	37.5% recovered	2, 4, 6, 7, 8, 9, 12
Kahan et al. (2000)	VR clinic	MC	345*	31 VRE	16%	NR	8*	23% flew at FU	2, 5, 7, 8, 9, 13
Botella, Osmá, et al. (2004)	VR clinic	MC	315–420	9 VRE	0	NR	12	Improved	6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 12
Bornas et al. (2006)	CA clinic	MC	300 CAE; 300 CANE	CAE = 19, CANE = 21	0	all completed	6	CAE = CANE	6, 8, 9, 10, 12
Bornas et al. (2001)	CA clinic	MC	360 CAE 660 IRCAE	15 CAE; 13 CANE 17 WL	0% CAE 28% CANE 0% WL	NR	6 mo.	CAE > CANE > WL	6, 8, 9, 12,
Maltby et al. (2002)	VR clinic	MC	330 VRE, 60 SG	20 VRE 23 SG	9% VRE, 0 SG	NR	6	VRE = SG at FU	6, 7, 8, 9, 12,
Mühlberger et al. (2001)	VR clinic	MC	420 VRE, 330 AR	15 VRE 13 AR	0% VRE 13% AR	NR	3.5	VRE > AR	6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 12,
Mühlberger et al. (2003) †	VR clinic	MC	200 VRE + CT, 200 VREM + CT 60 CT	13 VREM + CT, 11 VRE + CT, 11 CT 10 WL	0	NR	6	VREM + CT = VRE + CT, VRE > CT = WL	5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 12, 14

(continued on next page)

Table 1 (continued)

Author	SH device	How SH Used	Therapist Contact (min)	Sample sizes comparison conditions	Attrition (%)	Compliance rate	FU (months)	Outcome	Main Weaknesses
<i>Flying phobia</i>									
Mühlberger et al. (2006) †	VR clinic	MC	280 nonTD flight, 400 TD flight	15 TD flight, 15 nonTD flight	6% at FU	87% TD flight, 67% non TD flight	12 mos.	TD flight = nonTD flight	6, 7, 8, 9, 12, 14
(Wiederhold et al., 2002); Wiederhold & Wiederhold (2003)	VR clinic	MC	360	10 VREPF 10 VRE 10 IME	0	NR	3, 36	VRE>IME, VREPF=VRE	6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 12,
Banos et al. (2002)	VR clinic	TA	280–360	4 VRE	none	NR	none	Improved	1, 4, 6, 7, 8, 10, 12,
(Rothbaum et al., 2000, 2002)	VR clinic	TA	510 VR 510 TD	15 VR 15 TDE 15 WL	3 VRE (17%) 1 IV (6%)	NR	6 and 12	VRE=TDE>WL	5, 6, 8, 10, 12, 13
(Rothbaum et al., 2006); (Andersson et al., 2006)	VR clinic	TA	510 VRE 510 TDE	29 VRE, 29 TDE, 25 WL	0.25	NR	6 and 12, 27.6	VRE=TDE>WL	6, 7, 8, 10
<i>Spider phobia</i>									
Botella et al. (2008)	VR clinic	SA	0	12 VRE no head gear	0		3	Improved	2, 6, 7, 8, 12
(Gilroy et al., 2000); (Gilroy et al., 2003)	CA clinic	SA	5 CAVE, 135 TDE	15 TDE, 15 AR, 15 CAVE	27% AR 6% TDE	NR	3, 33	TDE=CAVE>AR	6, 7, 9, 12,
Dewis et al. (2001)	CA clinic	SA	5 CAVE 135 TDE	9 TDE 10 CAVE 9 WL	0	NR	1	TDE>CAVE>WL	6, 8, 9 12,
Fraser et al. (2001)	CA clinic	SA	5 CAVE-3 sess 5 CAVE-6 sess	15 CAVE-3 sess 15 CAVE-6 sess	27 % CAVE-3 sess, 20% CAVE-6 sess	good	1	3 sess CAVE=6 sess CAVE	6, 8, 9, 12,
Smith et al. (1997)	CA clinic	SA alone	5 CA	15 CAVEF, 15 CAVE 15 CAIVEF	27%	NR	9	CAVEF=CAVE=CAIVEF	5, 8, 9, 12, 13
Heading et al. (2001)	CA clinic	SA 5 min w/ client	5 CAVE 180 TDE	14 TDE, 13 CAVE 13 WL	0	Low HW compliance	1	TDE>CAVE	6, 8, 9, 10, 12,
Andersson et al. (2009)	Int home	PSH video em	25* IEX 180 TDE	14 TDE, 13 IEX	13% IEX, 7% TDE	NR	12	TDE>IEX at post TDE=IEX at FU	6, 7, 8, 12,
Côté & Bouchard (2005)	VR clinic	MC	360 VRE	28 VRE	0	NR	none	Improved	2, 4, 6, 8, 9, 13
Garcia-Palacios et al. (2002)	VR clinic	MC	240 VRE	12 VRE 11 WL	0	NR	none	VRE>WL	4, 6, 7, 11, 12,
Nelissen et al. (1995)	CA lab	MC	60 CAVE, 120 TDE	2 CAVE then TDE	0	NR	none	TDE>CAVE	1, 4, 6, 9 10, 12
Hoffman et al. (2003) †	VR clinic	MC	180	13 VRETA, 12 VRE 11 No tx	0	NA	none	VRETA>VRE>No tx	4, 9, 12, 14
<i>Depression</i>									
Patten (2003) †	IVR	SA	0	420 IVR 366 IED	3.3% IVR 2.2% IED	NR	1, 2, and 3	IVR=IED	5, 6, 7, 8, 9
Spek et al. (2007; 2008) †	Int home	SA	0 ICBT, 120 TD	102 ICBT, 99 GTD, 100 WL	35% ICBT, 43% GTD 42% WL	94.5% GTD, 48.3% ICBT c	none	GTD=ICBT>WL	4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9
Christensen et al. (2006) †	Int home	SA	0	1099 BICBT 1133 EICBT	21% BICBT, 19% EICBT	27% did all modules	none	EICBT>BICBT	4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 14
Osgood-Hynes et al. (1998)	IVR home	PSH ph	Very little	41 IVR	0.32	85% did 1/3 modules	none	>use=>benefit	2, 4, 5, 6, 8, 9, 10
O'Kearney et al. (2006) †	Int classroom	PSH	55	40 ICBT, 38 SSD	34% ICBT, 0% SSD	40%>2 sess	4	ICBT=SSD	3, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 14
O'Kearney et al. (2009) †	Int classroom	PSH	55	35 ICBT, 24 SSD	21% ICBT, 10% SSD	30%>2 sess	4	ICBT>SSD at FU	3, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 14
Christensen et al. (2004) †	Int home	PSH ph	60* IED, 60* ICBT, 60* SL	165 IED, 182 ICBT, 178 SL	17% IED 34% ICBT, 12% SL	50% ICBT c	none	ICBT=IED>SL	4, 5, 7, 8, 9, 10, 14
Van Voorhees et al. (2009) †	Int home	PSH	36* MI+ICBT, 1.79 advice+ICBT	40 advice+ICBT, 42 MI+ICBT	5% advice+ICBT, 2% MI+ICBT	61% MI+Int 67% advice+Int	3	MI+ICBT>advice+ICBT	5, 6,
Alvarez et al. (2008)	CA lab	PSH .	60	11 Ccog, 10 Ccog+med, 10 med	9%	NR	8	Ccog=Ccog+med>med	6, 8, 9, 10, 12
Selmi et al. (1990)	CA lab	MC	120 CCBT vs. 360 TD	12 CCBT, 12 TD, 12 WL	0	100%	2	CCCBT=TD>WL	6, 7, 8, 9, 12
Seligman et al. (2007) †	Int home	MC em	96*	113=ICBT 127=no tx	5%	84% with workshop	6	ICBT>No tx	6, 7, 11
Warmerdam et al. (2008) †	Int home	MC em	100* IPST, 160* ICT	ICT=88; IPST=88; WL=87	34%	38.6% ICT, 37.5% IPST c	1	ICT=IPST>WL	5, 6, 7, 9, 14
Andersson et al. (2005)	Int home	MC em disc	120 ICBT, 60 Disc	36 ICBT+Disc 49 Disc	37% ICBT+Disc, 18% Disc	65% Int c	6	ICBT>Disc	5, 6, 8, 9, 10,
Wright et al. (2005)	CA lab	MC	450 TD, 250 CCT	15 CCT 15 TD, 15 no Tx	13%	95.1% TD, 90.5% CCT	3 and 6	CCT=TD>WL	6, 12,
Robertson et al. (2006)	ICBT	TA	Concurrent tx	144 ICT	23%	84%>8 sess	none	Improved	2, 4, 5, 7, 8, 9, 10, 14

Table 1 (continued)

Author	SH device	How SH Used	Therapist Contact (min)	Sample sizes comparison conditions	Attrition (%)	Compliance rate	FU (months)	Outcome	Main Weaknesses
<i>Depression</i>									
Siepmann et al. (2008)	Bio lab	TA	300 bio + concurrent tx	14 bio	NR	NR	none	Improved	2, 4, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 12
Houston et al. (2002)	ISG home	TA	Concurrent tx	103 ISG	18.4%	53% heavy use	6 & 12	Improved	2, 5, 9, 10, 14
Bowers et al. (1993)	CA	TA	Concurrent Inpt care	6 CCBT + Inpt, 8 Inpt	32% CCBT	NR	none	CCBT + Inpt = Inpt	4, 7, 8, 12
Eisdorfer et al. (2003)	CTIS in home	TA	840* FT	75 FT, 77 FT + CTIS, 73 SL	28% FT, 24% FT + CTIS 44% SL	NR	6 and 18	FT + CTIS > FT and SL	5, 6, 7, 9, 14
Clarke et al. (2002)	Int home	TA	Concurrent tx	144 ICT 155 TAU	26% reported no FU	low site use	1, 2, 4, 8	ICT = TAU	5, 7, 9, 14
Clarke et al. (2005)	Int home	TA	Concurrent tx	100 IED 75 ICT + mail, 80 ICT + ph	36%	>1 visit: 25% ICT ph, 28% ICT mail	1.25, 2.5, 4, 12	ICT + ph = ICT + mail > IED	5, 7, 9, 14

Note. † used a nonclinical sample; CA computer assisted; Palm = palmtop computer; Lap—laptop computer; Bio—biofeedback device; TD—therapist directed; CBT—cognitive behavioral therapy; GTD—group therapist directed CBT; BCBT—brief individual CBT; BCCBT—Brief computer-assisted CBT; GTDE—group therapist-directed exposure; TDCBT—therapist directed CBT; BA—bibliotherapy assisted; VRE—virtual reality exposure; LP—low presence; HP—high presence; Int—Internet based; IVR—Interactive voice response system; CTIS—computer–telephone integrated system; CAP—capnometry assisted breathing retraining; SG—support group; SSD—standard self-development activities; Tx—treatment; TAU—treatment as usual; SA—entirely self-help; PSH—predominantly self-help; MC—Minimal contact; TA—therapist assisted; Ph—phone contact; Exp—exposure; TDE—therapist delivered in vivo exposure; CAE—computer-assisted exposure; CANE—computer assisted treatment without exposure; CCBT—computer administered CBT; CCT—computer administered cognitive therapy; CCog—computer administered cognitive training; CAVE—computer assisted vicarious exposure; CAIVE—Computer assisted irrelevant vicarious exposure; CAVEF—computer assisted vicarious exposure with feedback; CAIVEF—computer assisted irrelevant vicarious exposure with feedback; VRETA—virtual reality exposure with tactile augmentation; VREPF—virtual reality exposure with physiological feedback; VREM—virtual reality exposure with motion simulation; VRAE—Virtual reality active exposure; VRTE—Virtual reality trivial exposure; VRCBT—virtual reality assisted CBT; IME—imaginal exposure; CT—cognitive therapy without exposure; CBT—exposure plus cognitive therapy; ICBT—Internet delivered CBT; ICT—Internet delivered cognitive therapy; IEX—Internet assisted exposure; IED—Internet information only site; ISG—Internet support group; BICBT—brief Internet delivered CBT; EICBT extended Internet delivered CBT; IPST—Internet delivered problem solving therapy; SM—stress management; AR—applied relaxation; AMP—Attention Modification Program; FT—family therapy; med—medications; MI—motivational interviewing; GP—general practitioner visits; ED—educational control condition; DCS—D-cycloserine; mg—milligrams; Em—email feedback and support; Disc—online discussion group; HW—homework; NR—not reported; c—% participants who completed treatment; sess—percentage of sessions completed; FU—follow-up; Inpt—Inpatient; *amount of time reported is based on an average. Codes for weaknesses: 1. Case study, 2. Uncontrolled, 3. Quasi experiment, 4. No follow-up, 5. Used only self-report measures, 6. Did not assess additional therapy, 7. Did not stabilize medications prior to study entry, 8. No adherence or quality checks on treatment, 9. No reliability check on diagnosis, 10. No information on therapist training, 11 Only used a waitlist or no treatment comparison, 12 small sample, 13. No in vivo behavioral avoidance test, and 14. Did not assess DSM criteria.

therapist-guided exposure (Carr, Ghosh, & Marks, 1988; Kenwright, Liness, & Marks, 2001) with gains maintained 6 months after treatment ended. Similarly, in a randomized controlled trial, PSH computer-instructed exposure was superior to PSH computer plus audiotape-delivered relaxation in a clinic and not significantly different from therapist-instructed exposure (Marks, Kenwright, McDonough, Whitaker, & Mataix Cols, 2004) with gains maintained at 3-month follow-up.

In studies employing minimal contact for mixed anxiety disorders, improvement was noted in response to an MC Internet site accessed by rural community members (Hayward, MacGregor, Peck, & Wilkes, 2007). An MC clinic-based computer self-exposure system included nurse therapists who provided an initial rationale and spent 10 min with participants before and after each computer use. In a randomized controlled trial using this system to deliver exposure, outcome from computer-administered therapy was not significantly different from therapist-directed exposure at post-treatment and 6-month follow-up (Ghosh, Marks, & Carr, 1988). Additional results from a quasi-experimental study showed that this system was not more effective than MC Internet delivered exposure accessed from home with regular scheduled 10-minute phone contact with therapists (Kenwright, Marks, Gega, & Mataix-Cols, 2004). Moreover, MC Internet guided exposure accessed from home plus regular brief contact via phone or email was superior to Internet-delivered CBT without exposure (Schneider, Mataix-Cols, Marks, & Bachofen, 2005) at 1-month follow-up. In less supportive results, MC computer-assisted exposure in a clinic was not superior to SA bibliotherapy exposure and bibliotherapy was not significantly different from therapist-directed in vivo exposure at post-treatment and at 6-month follow-up (Ghosh et al., 1988).

In terms of TA interventions, there were two uncontrolled studies for mixed anxiety disorders. Improvement was found in response to a computer program that provided therapist guidance (Craske et al., 2009). Also, participants currently receiving psychotherapy or medication improved in response to a portable heart-rate variability biofeedback device (Reiner, 2008).

Taken together, the most cost-effective option for mixed anxiety disorders, may be SA bibliotherapy exposure, as it was not less effective than either MC computer assisted exposure or therapist-delivered graded exposure (Ghosh et al., 1988). However, this finding is based on only one study that used an older computer system as a comparison and it is possible that newer computer systems with greater multimedia capacity and engagement may prove to be superior to SA bibliotherapy for mixed anxiety disorders. Also, all comparisons to active treatments used pure exposure therapies. It is therefore unclear whether SA bibliotherapy involving multi-component CBT would be as effective as computer- or therapist-administered CBT for mixed anxiety disorders. In addition, most controlled findings for mixed anxiety disorders support the use of MC interventions and as such, these may be the optimal choice. No studies of clinically diagnosed participants with mixed anxiety examined the efficacy of SA or PSH technology-guided treatments used from home. Thus, it remains to be seen whether using a technology with SA or PSH contact is efficacious without the imposed structure offered by a clinic.

These studies included several methodological limitations. For example, none of them reported reliability of the diagnosis obtained and only 3 of them (see Table 1) included either placebo or active treatment comparisons within a randomized controlled trial. In addition, although many of these studies required stabilization of medications prior to entry, none of them assessed for additional treatment seeking outside of the study. Also, none of the trials that included human contact or therapist directed comparison conditions provided adherence or quality checks. Further, all studies had relatively short follow-up assessments with none longer than 8 months.

3.2. Obsessive-compulsive disorder

Technologies to treat obsessive-compulsive disorder (OCD) have made use of either computer delivered vicarious exposure or interactive voice response systems (IVR) using exposure and response prevention (ERP) techniques. IVR typically involves the client calling into a computer,

which is programmed with therapy modules. The client navigates these modules using a touch-tone phone. Instructions are provided entirely via oral directions. Vicarious exposure programs require clients to move an avatar on a computer screen toward an image of the feared object or in some other way to engage the figure in the exposure task.

Obsessive-compulsive disorder (OCD) has been examined less frequently and results are less promising than for mixed anxiety disorders. In an open trial of an SA Internet intervention for trichotillomania there were significant reductions of self-reported hair pulling (Mouton-Odum, Keuthen, Wagener, Stanley, & DeBaakey, 2006). However, an SA uncontrolled trial for OCD showed nonsignificant improvement in response to vicarious exposure and response prevention (ERP) delivered in a lab using a desktop computer (Clark, Kirkby, Daniels, & Marks, 1998). Studies employing a manual plus interactive voice response system accessed by telephone found that although it led to statistically significant improvement (Bachofen et al., 1999; Marks et al., 1998), as an MC intervention it was not as effective at post-assessment and 6.5 month follow-up as therapist-delivered ERP (Greist et al., 2002). On the other hand, when this intervention was used as an adjunct to therapist-delivered therapy, in a quasi-experiment, participants required fewer sessions with the therapist but did as well (averaging 597 vs. 867-minute contact; Nakagawa et al., 2000). In addition, a TA case study (conducted after standard therapy failed) found that a participant improved as long as he had access to ERP guidance via software, but relapsed when it was taken away (Baer, Minichiello, & Jenike, 1987).

Taken together, these studies suggest that for OCD treatment, technologies required the least amount of therapist contact (9.95 h) without loss to efficacy when used as an adjunct to therapist directed exposure and response prevention (Nakagawa et al., 2000). However, this result is based on a quasi-experimental study and it has not been replicated. There are additional limitations to all of the OCD studies, which suggest that perhaps these technologies are not optimal for this disorder. For example, reported compliance rates (defined as having engaged in more than one session) for IVR have ranged from 35 to 48% (Greist et al., 2002; Marks et al., 1998). In addition, only one randomized controlled trial has been conducted on any technological device for OCD. Further, none of the reviewed studies conducted a reliability check for diagnoses, none assessed for additional treatment seeking outside of the study, and only one conducted adherence checks for the treatment provided (Greist et al., 2002).

Aside from implications that more controlled trials are needed, the data reviewed above suggest that a critical change mechanism is provided by the therapist in computer-assisted therapy for OCD, even if this is limited to guidance through the computerized exposure tasks. Perhaps this is due simply to the support or coaching provided by another individual when approaching a feared stimulus, or the contribution of the alliance to these exercises. Therefore, an important future direction would be to test various levels of therapist involvement when using identical technological devices to determine the optimal balance using a cost-benefit ratio.

3.3. Panic disorder

In addition to including technologies already described for other disorders, treatment of panic disorder has included mobile technologies such as palmtop computers and capnometry devices. Palmtop computers teach and prompt people to use specific techniques in their natural environments and similarly, capnometry devices provide immediate CO₂ feedback to help retrain panic disorder clients' breathing in their natural environment. Moreover, virtual reality (VR) has been used to conduct exposure therapy to a feared environment (e.g., balcony, grocery store) and to provide optimal control over this exposure via manipulations of the environment (e.g., making the environment more or less crowded with people).

For panic disorder, very few studies have examined SA technology-based treatments. A case study found improvement lasting up to

8 months in response to SA computer CBT delivered in the lab (Chandler, Burck, & Sampson, 1986) and in a controlled trial SA Internet cognitive therapy was superior to self-monitoring alone at post-treatment (Klein & Richards, 2001). However, although a pilot SA Internet treatment led to improvement in some panic symptoms, panic attack frequency was unchanged (Klein, Shandley, Austin, & Nordin, 2008). Also, in an open trial with no exclusion criteria, only 1% of those who registered for an Internet treatment completed all segments (Farvolden, Denisoff, Selby, Bagby, & Rudy, 2005), suggesting that SA treatments may not be optimal for panic disorder.

Similar to SA studies, few studies have examined PSH technology-based treatments for panic disorder. PSH Internet CBT plus email check-in was superior to a waitlist (Carlbring, Westling, Ljungstrand, & Andersson, 2001). However, PSH Internet CBT plus standardized email feedback was equivalent at post-assessment to PSH Internet applied relaxation plus standardized email (Carlbring, Ekselius, & Andersson, 2003). Because relaxation alone is not a recommended treatment for panic disorder (Chambless & Ollendick, 2001), this suggests the possibility that PSH interventions may not be optimal in the treatment for panic disorder.

In case studies or uncontrolled trials examining MC interventions for panic disorder, MC Internet cognitive therapy plus phone calls or email feedback (Bergström et al., 2009; Richards & Alvarenga, 2002), MC vicarious exposure with therapist guidance in the lab (Harcourt, Kirkby, Daniels, & Montgomery, 1998), and MC palmtop computer CBT plus 6 h therapist-delivered therapy (Newman, Kenardy, Herman, & Taylor, 1996) all led to significant improvement. In addition, MC Internet CBT plus phone and email contact (Carlbring et al., 2006), MC VR desensitization (North, North, & Coble, 1995), and MC ambulatory capnometry-assisted breathing retraining (Meuret, Wilhelm, Ritz, & Roth, 2008) were superior to waitlist at post-treatment and up to 12 month follow-up. Additional studies found no significant differences between greater contact therapist-delivered CBT and either MC palmtop-delivered CBT (Kenardy, Dow, et al., 2003; Newman, Kenardy, et al., 1997), MC Internet CBT plus email contact (Carlbring et al., 2005; Kiropoulos et al., 2008), MC desktop computer-assisted exposure delivered in a clinic (Ghosh & Marks, 1987) or MC VR exposure (Vincelli et al., 2003) with gains maintained up to 1-year follow-up. Again, perhaps these findings point toward the critical role of at least some amount of therapist contact before, during, or after exposure to feared stimuli (i.e., panic triggers/symptoms), whether that is guided exposure or provision of coping strategies, even though varying levels of contact appear to promote significant gains.

In studies trying to discern optimal ingredients of MC technology based treatments for panic disorder, non-randomly assigned MC Internet CBT plus email contact was not significantly different from MC Internet CBT plus general practitioner assistance (Shandley et al., 2008) at post-treatment and 6-month follow-up. However, MC Internet CBT plus email contact was superior to MC bibliotherapy at reducing visits to a general practitioner and at reducing negative health ratings, and both treatments were superior to a PSH information only Internet site (Klein, Richards, & Austin, 2006). Further, MC Internet CBT plus stress management was superior at post-assessment to MC Internet CBT alone on panic severity and general anxiety and both were better than an information only website, although there were no longer any differences between the two active treatments at 3-month follow-up (Richards, Klein, & Austin, 2006). In addition, MC Internet CBT plus 1 email per week was not less effective than MC Internet CBT plus 2 emails per week (Klein, Austin, et al., 2009) at post-treatment.

In less promising results, in a subset of the sample reported by Ghosh et al. (1988) focused only on agoraphobic clients, MC desktop computer-assisted exposure delivered in the lab was not significantly better at post-therapy and 6-month follow-up than SA bibliotherapy exposure used at home, and bibliotherapy was not significantly different from therapist-directed exposure (Ghosh & Marks, 1987). Also, a palmtop computer plus 6 h therapist-delivered CBT was comparable at 6-month follow-up to 6 h therapist-delivered CBT

without the computer (Kenardy, Dow, et al., 2003). In addition, four sessions of group CBT plus individual VR exposure was less effective at 6-month follow-up than 12 sessions of group CBT without VR exposure at enabling medication reduction (Choi et al., 2005).

Thus, for optimal amount and type of technologically based treatment for panic disorder, the most consistent finding is that such treatment entails minimal therapist contact involving a computer administered program (either Internet, palmtop or desktop). Such a treatment appears to be effective when used in conjunction with as little as 150 min of contact (Carlbring et al., 2005). Further, this treatment may be enhanced with the addition of a stress management component (Richards et al., 2006). As an MC intervention, it does not seem to matter whether the device is used at home or in a clinic. However, most SA and PSH interventions were accessed from home and perhaps testing such interventions in the clinic would confer better results. There are several caveats to these conclusions. As highlighted in Table 1, these studies are not without methodological flaws. Also, most of the studies have involved MC interventions using computers and more research is needed to determine if equally effective PSH treatments can be developed as well as effective panic disorder treatments involving VR technologies.

3.4. Social phobia

Technologies used in the treatment of social phobia have included VR, Internet, desktop, and palmtop computer assistance. Most VR studies targeted public speaking anxiety with treatment involving exposure via presentations to a virtual audience. However, Klinger, Bouchard, Legeron, Roy, Lauer, Chemin et al. (2005) employed VR using a number of additional environments meant to target social phobia more broadly, including virtual situations requiring interaction with friends, acquaintances and strangers as well as virtual situations requiring assertiveness, and initiation and maintenance of conversations. Whereas Internet treatments for social phobia typically entailed instruction of multicomponent CBT techniques, some newer desktop computer programs focused on attention-retraining tasks meant to target biased attention to threatening stimuli found in social phobia.

Only 2 technology-based social phobia treatments involved SA interventions. A case study and an open trial using a cognitive therapy Internet site, video exposure, and external focus instructions led to reduced speech anxiety maintained for a month after treatment ended (Botella et al., 2007; Botella, Hofmann, & Moscovitch, 2004). In terms of PSH interventions to treat public speaking anxiety, VR exposure was superior to waitlist (Harris, Kemmerling, & North, 2002). In addition, PSH VR group active exposure was superior to PSH VR group trivial exposure (North, North, & Coble, 1997). Moreover, for social phobia symptoms, in four studies PSH computer-guided attention retraining was superior to placebo programs (Amir, Weber, Beard, Bomyea, & Taylor, 2008; Amir, Beard, Taylor, et al., 2009; Beard & Amir, 2008; Schmidt, Richey, Buckner, & Timpano, 2009), suggesting that this treatment is promising.

For MC interventions, in case studies therapist-guided cognitive therapy, breathing retraining, and VR exposure decreased symptoms of public speaking (Anderson, Rothbaum, & Hodges, 2003). However, there have also been some less positive findings with respect to VR using MC interventions. For example, an open trial of 8 individual sessions of anxiety management training plus VR exposure reduced self-reported symptoms; however, participants were not more likely to complete a speech at post-treatment (Anderson, Zimand, Hodges, & Rothbaum, 2005). Also, 12 individual sessions of VR exposure were not significantly different from 12 group sessions of standard CBT at post-assessment (Klinger et al., 2005), requiring more therapist time per person in the individual VR treatment (540 min) than the standard group therapy (180 min). Perhaps this was due to the

beneficial effects of real-life exposure to a group of people compared to the virtual exposure provided by VR.

In MC treatments using computer programs, a case study series found that 6 group sessions of CBT plus a palmtop computer led to symptom improvement that was maintained at 6-month follow-up (Przeworski & Newman, 2004). In addition, in four controlled trials, MC CBT Internet sites in conjunction with therapist email contact were superior to waitlist (Andersson et al., 2006; Berger, Hohl, & Caspar, 2009; Carlbring, Furmark, Steczko, Ekselius, & Andersson, 2006; Carlbring et al., 2007; Carlbring, Nordgren, Furmark, & Andersson, 2009) with gains maintained up to 2.5-year follow-up. In two additional studies an MC Internet site plus moderated chat room discussion plus email contact were superior to waitlist (Titov, Andrews, & Schwencke, 2008; Titov, Andrews, Schwencke, Drobny, & Einstein, 2008). Moreover, an MC Internet site plus moderated chat room plus email and phone contact was not differentially successful when augmented by five therapist administered sessions with both treatments leading to significant improvement and gains maintained up to 1-year follow-up (Tillfors et al., 2008). Finally, MC group CBT plus a palmtop computer was not significantly different from 12 group sessions without the computer (Gruber, Moran, Roth, & Taylor, 2001) at 6-month follow-up. Given these findings, it is possible that one critical factor leading to improvement in social phobia is contact with others, be it real-life or virtual (e.g., email, chat rooms, VR), with some virtual options allowing for time-unlimited contact.

In sum, promising reductions in social phobia symptoms have been shown with PSH interventions focused on attention retraining and exposure delivered in a clinic as well as with MC palmtop computer and Internet treatments used at home (see Table 1). However, some methodological limitations make it difficult to draw conclusions regarding amount of optimal time as well as with respect to the optimal technology. One problem is that very few social phobia studies included a behavioral avoidance test, often considered an important objective outcome measure when treating social phobia. Further, only 3 social phobia studies compared technology driven treatments to therapist-administered treatments and these studies all had cell sizes under 20 suggesting the possibility that they may have been underpowered to find differences. Interestingly, all of the SA and PSH treatments for social phobia involved making appointments and going to a lab or clinic and therefore, it is unclear whether these interventions would work as well if administered from home.

3.5. Generalized anxiety disorder and posttraumatic stress disorder

There are only a few studies of generalized anxiety disorder (GAD) or posttraumatic stress disorder (PTSD). These studies have made use of palmtop computers, desktop computers, Internet treatments, ambulatory biofeedback, and VR assistance. VR has been used creatively to engage Veterans with PTSD in exposure to war related scenes and experiences as well as to enhance relaxation in participants with GAD via immersion into relaxing environments.

For GAD treatment (Table 1), a case series using an SA CBT Internet site (plus occasional phone contact to prompt adherence) led to reliable change and recovery in 2/3 of participants at post-treatment and with gains maintained at 5-month follow-up (Draper, Rees, & Nathan, 2008). Moreover, a PSH attention retraining computer program was significantly better than a placebo program at diminishing GAD symptoms with gains maintained at 4-month follow-up (Amir, Beard, Burns, & Bomyea, 2009). Further, a case series using MC palmtop computer-assisted CBT showed diminished symptoms in all 3 participants with gains maintained at 6-month follow-up (Newman et al., 1999). Also, in a small sample of patients, MC VR (immersing participants in relaxing scenes) with non-navigable scenes added to participants' mobile phones for use at home was augmented with the addition of biofeedback (Pallavicini, Algeri, Repetto, Gorini, & Riva, 2009).

In the treatment of subclinical PTSD symptoms, an SA CBT Internet intervention was superior to waitlist at post-treatment (Hirai & Clum, 2005). Also, for individuals with elevated PTSD symptoms, MC Internet treatment plus email feedback led to clinically significant change (Lange et al., 2000) and in 3 separate studies was superior to waitlist at 6-week follow-up (Lange, van de Ven, Schrieken, & Emmelkamp, 2001; Lange et al., 2003), and 3-month follow-up (Knaevelsrud & Maercker, 2007). Moreover, in a sample of individuals in an inpatient facility for substance abuse treatment who had elevated PTSD symptoms, an ambulatory biofeedback device was superior to audio-recorded progressive muscle relaxation on measures of depression and heart rate variability but not significantly different on measures of PTSD at post assessment (Zucker, Samuelson, Muench, Greenberg, & Gevirtz, 2009).

In the treatment of clinically diagnosed PTSD, an MC Internet treatment plus email feedback led to clinically significant change (Klein, Mitchell, et al., 2009). Also, in service members with PTSD such a treatment was superior to a supportive Internet site at 3-month follow-up (Litz, Engel, Bryant, & Papa, 2007). Also, an uncontrolled study found that TA VR exposure led to clinically meaningful and statistically significant reductions in combat veterans' symptomatology, which were maintained at 3- and 6-month follow-up (Ready, Pollack, Rothbaum, & Alarcon, 2006; Rothbaum, Hodges, Ready, Graap, & Alarcon, 2001).

Taken together, for the treatment of GAD or PTSD, more studies are needed before any conclusions can be drawn about the optimal amount of human contact needed. As noted, within the handful of studies conducted there is quite a diversity of methods and sample selections (see Table 1). For the treatment of GAD, PSH attention retraining holds some promise and for the treatment of PTSD, MC Internet sites hold promise. Nonetheless, these methods have not been directly compared to therapist-directed treatments and therefore, it is not known if they would achieve comparable efficacy.

3.6. Simple phobias

By far, most technology-based treatment studies have been conducted on simple phobia examining enhanced exposure techniques. These treatments have entailed VR exposure, computer exposure to images and sounds associated with the feared object, Internet-assisted exposure, or vicarious exposure. Almost all of the studies were done in a lab or a clinic with only one Internet-based study providing treatment from home.

3.6.1. Claustrophobia and driving phobia

Only uncontrolled studies examined claustrophobia or fear of driving. In a case series with multiple baselines, MC VR exposure reduced symptoms of claustrophobia and this reduction was maintained at 3-month follow-up (Botella, Banos, Villa, Perpina, & Garcia Palacios, 2000). In addition, TA VR reduced self-reported and physiological symptoms of driving phobia, with reductions maintained up to 12-month follow-up (Wald & Taylor, 2003; Walshe, Lewis, Kim, O'Sullivan, & Wiederhold, 2003). However, in one of the latter studies, treatment did not change participants' driving behavior (Wald & Taylor, 2003).

3.6.2. Acrophobia

Similar to driving phobia, there are limited technology-based studies on acrophobia. In the one study on PSH for acrophobia, VR exposure plus D-cycloserine was superior to VR exposure plus placebo with gains maintained at 3-month follow-up (Ressler et al., 2004). In terms of MC acrophobia treatments, in an uncontrolled study VR exposure reduced self-reported fear and behavioral avoidance with gains maintained at 1-year follow-up (Coelho, Santos, Silvério, & Silva, 2006). Moreover, MC VR exposure was superior to waitlist with gains maintained up to 6 months (Krijn, Emmelkamp, Biemond, et al., 2004; Lamson, 1994; Rothbaum et al., 1995). In addition, MC VR exposure was not significantly different from MC in vivo exposure (Emmelkamp,

Bruynzeel, Drost, & Van Der Mast, 2001; Emmelkamp et al., 2002). Further, MC VR exposure using a more expensive system with greater presence was not superior to a less expensive MC system with less presence and results were maintained at 6-month follow-up (Krijn, Emmelkamp, Biemond, et al., 2004).

3.6.3. Flight phobia

In interventions for flight phobia using virtual reality, clinical case series (Banos et al., 2002; Botella, Oasma, Garcia Palacios, Quero, & Banos, 2004) and open trials (Bornas et al., 2002; Kahan, Tanzer, Darvin, & Borer, 2000) showed that MC VR exposure reduced symptoms, with gains maintained up to one year. In controlled trials, MC VR exposure was superior to MC relaxation (Mühlberger, Herrmann, Wiedemann, Ellgring, & Pauli, 2001). Also in an MC format, adding VR exposure to cognitive therapy led to superior outcome compared to PSH cognitive therapy alone and both were superior to a waitlist with gains maintained at 6-month follow-up (Mühlberger, Wiedemann, & Pauli, 2003). Further, at 3-month and 3-year follow-up the addition of physiological feedback improved upon MC VR exposure alone, and both were better than imaginal exposure (Wiederhold, Jang, Kim, & Wiederhold, 2002; Wiederhold & Wiederhold, 2003). On the other hand, motion simulation did not add anything beyond cognitive therapy plus VR exposure (Mühlberger et al., 2003). Also, during a one-year follow-up of this study, therapist accompaniment did not impact significantly whether or not participants took a flight (Mühlberger, Weik, Pauli, & Wiedemann, 2006). Less positive results showed that MC VR exposure led to a flight in 67% of participants at post treatment, but only 23% at 1 year follow-up in an uncontrolled study (Kahan et al., 2000). Also, MC VR exposure was not superior to a PSH attention placebo on a behavioral avoidance task at post therapy and 6-month follow-up (Maltby, Kirsch, Mayers, & Allen, 2002).

Two additional MC flight phobia treatments used computerized image exposure. In one study, adding relaxation and information, to computer-assisted image exposure in a TA format actually detracted from the efficacy of MC image exposure alone although both were superior to waitlist (Bornas, Tortella Feliu, Llabres, & Fullana, 2001). However, contrary to findings for VR suggesting that exposure was an important element of treatment, MC computer-aided exposure plus flight sounds did not add anything above and beyond cognitive therapy, breathing retraining, and relaxation (Bornas, Tortella-Feliu, & Llabrés, 2006), suggesting that perhaps computer image exposure is not optimal for the treatment of flight phobia.

In terms of TA treatments for flight phobia, VR exposure (simulated flights including take-off and landing) plus anxiety management training was not significantly different from therapist-guided in vivo exposure (to airport stimuli and a parked airplane) plus anxiety management training, and both were superior to waitlist (Rothbaum, Hodges, Smith, Lee, & Price, 2000; Rothbaum, Hodges, Anderson, Price, & Smith, 2002; Rothbaum et al., 2006) with gains maintained after September 11th and at 2.3 year follow-up (Anderson et al., 2006).

3.6.4. Spider phobia

In the treatment of spider phobia all SA treatments made use of computer-aided vicarious exposure. Results indicated that 3 SA computer sessions were not different from 6 sessions (Fraser, Kirkby, Daniels, Gilroy, & Montgomery, 2001), and in the treatment of children, 3 sessions were superior to waitlist (Dewis et al., 2001). However, relevant SA vicarious exposure plus feedback was not superior to irrelevant SA vicarious exposure with feedback (Smith, Kirkby, Montgomery, & Daniels, 1997). Also, whereas MC in vivo graded exposure was not significantly different from SA vicarious exposure in one study (Gilroy, Kirkby, Daniels, Menzies, & Montgomery, 2000, 2003) it was superior in three studies (Dewis et al., 2001; Heading et al., 2001; Nelissen, Muris, & Merckelbach, 1995). Moreover, although SA vicarious exposure was superior to progressive muscle relaxation at 3-month

follow-up (Gilroy et al., 2000), it was not different at 33-month follow-up (Gilroy et al., 2003), suggesting that SA vicarious exposure is not an optimal treatment of spider phobia.

Spider phobia treatments involving more time and making use of different methods showed more promise. For example, PSH in vivo exposure was superior to Internet-assisted exposure on clinically significant change at post-treatment using a behavioral avoidance test. Nonetheless, there were no longer any significant differences between the compared treatments at 1-year follow-up (Andersson, Waara, Jonsson, Malmaeus, Carlbring, & Öst, 2009) at which time additional gains had been demonstrated within the Internet-assisted treatment. For MC treatments, an open trial of MC VR exposure led to significant change on subjective, behavioral, and physiological outcome measures at post-assessment (Côté & Bouchard, 2005). Additional controlled studies of MC VR exposure found that it was superior to both waitlist (Garcia-Palacios, Hoffman, Carlin, Furness, & Botella, 2002) and no treatment at post-assessment (Hoffman, Garcia Palacios, Carlin, Furness, & Botella Arbona, 2003). Moreover, tactile augmentation improved upon MC VR exposure alone (Hoffman et al., 2003).

3.6.5. Summary and conclusions for simple phobia

Within the domain of simple phobias, more studies are needed on claustrophobia, driving phobia, and acrophobia. However, for acrophobia 2–3 h of VR exposure was not significantly different from the same amount of time for in vivo exposure (Emmelkamp et al., 2001). In treatment for flying phobia adding physiological feedback or cognitive therapy may enhance the efficacy of MC virtual reality exposure. Nonetheless, the minimum contact time for VR for flight phobia that has demonstrated comparability to in vivo exposure is 8.5 h (Rothbaum et al., 2002). Also, vicarious exposure was not as helpful as therapist directed exposure in the treatment of spider phobia. However, as little as 25 min email contact plus an Internet intervention for spider phobia was not significantly different from 3 h of therapist directed exposure at 1-year follow-up suggesting that Internet treatment for this disorder is promising.

Nonetheless, conclusions for simple phobia come with several caveats. First, almost all of these studies had small cell sizes, limiting their power to find differences between compared conditions (see Table 1). Thus, it is not clear whether nonsignificant findings were meaningful. Further, almost all of the studies involved virtual reality or computer-assisted exposure methods used in a lab or clinic, thus other technology-guided methods of treating simple phobias and methods to treat people at home have yet to be fully explored. Moreover, it was rare that studies assessed reliability of the diagnoses and in the use of simple phobia technologies there has been little documentation of degree of compliance with the treatment. Ultimately, more research is needed regarding the optimal amount of therapist contact when using technology-based exposure treatments for simple phobias.

4. Technology-based depression treatments

Computer technologies have also been used to treat of depression. Whereas most studies focused on current depression, including in caregivers of Alzheimer's patients (Eisendorfer et al., 2003), and in current inpatients (Bowers, Stuart, MacFarlane, & Gorman, 1993), several studies focused on prevention of future depression (O'Kearney, Gibson, Christensen, & Griffiths, 2006; O'Kearney, Kang, Christensen, & Griffiths, 2009; Seligman, Schulman, & Tryon, 2007; Van Voorhees et al., 2009). Most studies employed CBT, however, Eisendorfer et al. (2003) incorporated family therapy for Alzheimer's caregivers. The technological devices included Internet-based websites, computer programs located in the clinic, and telephone systems, including computerized interactive voice response, as well as a computer-telephone integrated system (CTIS). The CTIS could be used to contact

family members and therapists, engage conference calls, as well as to access information helpful to Alzheimer's caregivers.

4.1. Subthreshold depression

Only a few studies have employed SA interventions and all of these treatments targeted individuals with subthreshold depression. Results indicated that an Internet program accessed from home was superior to waitlist and not significantly different from 10 sessions of group CBT at post-treatment and 1-year follow-up (Spek et al., 2007; Spek et al., 2008). Nonetheless, less than half of the Internet treated participants in this study completed all modules. Further, although an SA Internet treatment with multiple modules was more effective than a brief single module SA Internet treatment (Christensen, Griffiths, Mackinnon, & Brittcliffe, 2006), only about 27% of participants actually completed either treatment. Similarly, an SA CBT website was not superior to information-only websites in an unselected sample of adults (Patten, 2003). Thus, an SA intervention may not be optimal for any group at risk for developing depression.

In PSH treatment of subthreshold depression, in a quasi-experimental study, an Internet CBT intervention delivered to classrooms of unselected adolescent girls was superior to usual self-development activities on depressive symptoms (O'Kearney et al., 2009). However, the same treatment delivered to unselected adolescent boys was not significantly different than standard self-development activities in reducing depression symptoms but it did improve self-esteem (O'Kearney et al., 2006). Also, when added to a CBT Internet treatment, a 5–10-minute physician directed motivational interview plus 3 motivational phone calls was superior to 1–2 min of physician advice (Van Voorhees et al., 2009). Nonetheless, although a PSH website plus weekly phone calls (Christensen, Griffiths, & Jorm, 2004) was superior to phone-based supportive listening, it was not significantly different from an information only website. In terms of MC and TA treatments, an MC Internet problem-solving therapy and an MC Internet CBT site were superior to a waitlist control with no differences between the two Internet treatments (Warmerdam, van Straten, Twisk, Riper, & Cuijpers, 2008). Also, a TA computer telephone integrated system plus family therapy (Eisendorfer et al., 2003) was superior to phone-based supportive listening. Moreover, a TA website plus group treatment for depression prevention (Seligman et al., 2007) did not lead to maintained gains at follow-up, emphasizing a need for further investigation into the long-term effects of computerized therapy for subthreshold depression.

4.2. Major depression

In the treatment of clinically diagnosed depression, in a PSH open trial, 75% of participants with major depression who called an IVR system 10 or more times over a 12 week period improved at post-assessment (Osgood-Hynes et al., 1998). In terms of MC interventions, a lab-based computer program with support staff (Selmi, Klein, Greist, Sorrell, & Erdman, 1990), and an MC therapy plus laboratory-based computer program (Wright et al., 2005) were superior to waitlist or no treatment controls. Further, an MC Internet treatment plus Internet based discussion group was superior to the discussion group alone in participants with self-reported mild to moderate depression (Andersson et al., 2005). In addition, a 120-minute lab-based computer program was not significantly different from 360 min of face-to-face therapy (Selmi et al., 1990) and a 250-minute lab-based computer program was not significantly different from 450 min of therapist delivered therapy (Wright et al., 2005).

With respect to therapist assisted interventions, a TA Internet site plus email and phone reminders, led to a decline in level of depressive symptomatology in participants who were currently receiving adjunctive treatment for depression (Robertson, Smith, Castle, & Tannenbaum, 2006). Similarly, biofeedback delivered to depressed individuals receiving concurrent treatment led to

improved depressive symptoms and increased heart rate variability (Siepmann, Aykac, Unterdorfer, Petrowski, & Mueck-Weymann, 2008). A comparison to an information only website showed a TA CBT Internet site plus mail or phone-based reminder prompts was superior in participants who had also received medication or psychotherapy for their major depression (Clarke et al., 2005). In university students, a TA computer program used in conjunction with researcher assistance improved cognitive impairment and academic performance in addition to improving depressive symptoms, whereas antidepressants only improved depressive symptoms (Alvarez, Sotres, León, Estrella, & Sosa, 2008). Finally, a TA computer telephone integrated system plus family therapy was superior to the family therapy alone in the treatment of depressed caregivers of individuals with Alzheimer's disease (Eisdorfer et al., 2003). In terms of less positive results, an Internet site offered to depressed adults receiving adjunctive medical services as well as to nondepressed adults was not superior to a usual care control in the treatment of adult outpatients (Clarke et al., 2002). Also, TA computer CBT plus inpatient treatment was not superior to inpatient treatment alone and was inferior to therapist-delivered CBT plus inpatient treatment (Bowers et al., 1993).

4.3. Summary and conclusions regarding computer-based depression treatments

In summary, more research is needed before determining the minimum contact required to confer efficacy for depression. In the treatment of subthreshold depression, a completely self-help Internet site was not less effective than group treatment (Spek et al., 2007; Spek et al., 2008), notwithstanding a large amount of attrition for both treatments and significantly lower compliance with the Internet site (Table 1). However, this finding has yet to be replicated and the low compliance may indicate that SA is not optimal for subthreshold depression. A PSH intervention that includes a brief motivational interview also holds some promise for subthreshold depression but has yet to be compared to either placebo or a therapist directed intervention. Nonetheless, for sites accessed from home, the latter intervention was associated with lower attrition and better compliance than the SA Internet treatment of Spek and colleagues and therefore appears more promising. For clinical levels of depression, PSH and SA computer treatments have not been tested without receipt of additional medical services. The most cost-effective option tested for these individuals was a 250-minute minimal contact treatment (Wright et al., 2005). However, similar to findings with subthreshold depression, this result has yet to be replicated.

As highlighted in Table 1, there were several methodological shortcomings to these studies. For instance, few studies assessed reliability of the diagnoses. As expected for online and telephone treatment, attrition levels were occasionally high, ranging from 2 to 37% and with compliance ranging from 30 to 90%. Five of these studies performed intent-to-treat analyses (Christensen et al., 2004; Clarke et al., 2002; Osgood-Hynes et al., 1998; Spek et al., 2007; Spek et al., 2008), and one found that noncompleters had higher levels of depression at baseline (Eisdorfer et al., 2003). Also, there were quite a few different systems used, each one developed by a different research group with little replication using the same system across or within research groups.

5. Conclusions and future directions

Overall, efficacy of computerized interventions has been demonstrated in the treatment of anxiety and depression. In particular, studies of mixed anxiety disorders, panic disorder, and social phobia are promising. However, similar to our prior review, there continues to be a pattern of lower compliance when technologies are used at home in conjunction with little or no human contact. A more thorough investigation into the utility of diverse technology-based

treatments is needed for GAD, OCD, and PTSD. Although the most efficacious level of therapist contact varies by disorder, taking attrition and compliance rates into account, MC therapies have been most beneficial for the greatest variety of disorders, indicating that some structured interaction with a therapist is important in the treatment of various psychological problems.

Of course, there are newer technological advances yet to be tested therapeutically that go beyond our typical notions of computer-assisted treatment for anxiety and depression. For example, with technologies such as Skype or Voice over Internet Protocols (VoIP), therapists have a variety of options for distal contact with clients that can include visual imagery, and this type of contact is increasing in popularity (see Strong, 2010, July 30). In the future it even may be possible for entire therapy groups to be conducted using this technology, with each individual in a different location. There have also been many advances in the world of interactive VR, such as Second Life, There, and Active Worlds, in which multiple users can interact in simulated environments, increasing the experience of social presence (Gorini, Gaggioli, Vigna, & Riva, 2008). This technology opens up new possibilities for exposure treatment for anxiety and behavioral activation for depression, in which the client and therapist may interact in the virtual world together, or the client can interact virtually with social groups. Moreover, combining extant technologies such as global positioning systems (GPS), ambulatory electrophysiological monitoring, and telephone contact would allow therapists to coach clients distally through real-world exposure techniques. Other possibilities that haven't been fully explored include Wii-like wireless controllers, automated text-messaging, personal digital assistant, physiological feedback, and smartphone technology that allows ongoing data collection and transmission. Such technologies also increase possibilities for automated ecological momentary intervention (Heron & Smyth, 2010). While this is by no means an exhaustive review of available new technologies, we feel it is important to provide a glimpse at possible future technologies and their potential for treatment.

Whereas results of the studies reviewed in this article suggest the promise of technology-based treatment, they are limited by various methodological problems. Very few studies included any follow-up assessments, thereby limiting our knowledge about the long-term effects of these treatments. Further, very few studies examined whether the technology-based treatment led to clinically significant change or even whether clients met diagnostic criteria for the treated disorder at post-therapy. This severely limits the conclusions that may be drawn regarding the utility and efficacy of these treatments. In addition, it is often the case that each research group has developed a separate idiosyncratic version of VR, Internet treatments, etc. Also none of the researchers has provided any data about the quality of their software, level of engagement/immersion experienced, and/or the ability of the software to facilitate learning. This makes it difficult to know whether failures to demonstrate efficacy in some cases, are due to a problem with the software version versus a problem with the approach more broadly. Moreover, researchers have not yet made full use of mobile phone and palmtop software, automated text messaging, physiological feedback, and other ambulatory technologies in the treatment of anxiety disorders and depression.

In addition to examining diagnosis at post-treatment, the feasibility and efficacy of technology-based treatments must be evaluated for individuals from varying geographic areas, socioeconomic statuses, and age groups. To date few studies have evaluated the efficacy of computer-assisted therapy for children and no studies examined the feasibility of these treatments in specialized or diverse populations, subsequently limiting the conclusions that may be drawn about these treatments. Additionally, dismantling studies should determine the therapeutic and technological components of treatment that are required for optimal efficacy and client satisfaction. Finally, future studies should examine the effect of technology-based treatments on comorbid conditions. As technological innovations

continue to develop, the efficacy, portability, and feasibility of the use of technology should continue to grow, bringing with it new and exciting mental health applications.

References

- Alvarez, L. M., Sotres, J. F. C., León, S. O., Estrella, J., & Sosa, J. J. S. (2008). Computer program in the treatment for major depression and cognitive impairment in university students. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 24, 816–826.
- Amir, N., Weber, G., Beard, C., Bomyea, J., & Taylor, C. T. (2008). The effect of a single-session attention modification program on response to a public-speaking challenge in socially anxious individuals. *Journal of Abnormal Psychology*, 117, 860–868.
- Amir, N., Beard, C., Burns, M., & Bomyea, J. (2009). Attention modification program in individuals with generalized anxiety disorder. *Journal of Abnormal Psychology*, 118, 28–33.
- Amir, N., Beard, C., Taylor, C. T., Klumpp, H., Elias, J., Burns, M., et al. (2009). Attention training in individuals with generalized social phobia: A randomized controlled trial. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, 77, 961–973.
- Anderson, P. L., Rothbaum, B. O., & Hodges, L. F. (2003). Virtual reality exposure in the treatment of social anxiety. *Cognitive and Behavioral Practice*, 10, 240–247.
- Anderson, P. L., Jacobs, C., & Rothbaum, B. O. (2004). Computer-supported cognitive behavioral treatment of anxiety disorders. *Journal of Clinical Psychology*, 60, 253–267.
- Anderson, P. L., Zimand, E., Hodges, L. F., & Rothbaum, B. O. (2005). Cognitive behavioral therapy for public-speaking anxiety using virtual reality for exposure. *Depression and Anxiety*, 22, 156–158.
- Anderson, P. L., Jacobs, C. H., Lindner, G. K., Edwards, S., Zimand, E., Hodges, L. L., et al. (2006). Cognitive behavior therapy for fear of flying: Sustainability of treatment gains after September 11. *Behavior Therapy*, 37, 91–97.
- Andersson, G., Bergström, J., Hölländare, F., Carlbring, P., Kaldö, V., & Ekselius, L. (2005). Internet-based self-help for depression: Randomised controlled trial. *The British Journal of Psychiatry*, 187, 456–461.
- Andersson, G., Carlbring, P., Holmström, A., Sparthán, E., Furmark, T., Nilsson-Ihrfelt, E., et al. (2006). Internet-based self-help with therapist feedback and in vivo group exposure for social phobia: A randomized controlled trial. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, 74, 677–686.
- Andersson, G., Waara, J., Jonsson, U., Malmaeus, F., Carlbring, P., & Öst, L. -G. (2009). Internet-based self-help versus one-session exposure in the treatment of spider phobia: A randomized controlled trial. *Cognitive Behaviour Therapy*, 38, 114–120. doi:10.1080/16506070902931326.
- Bachofen, M., Nakagawa, A., Marks, I. M., Park, J. M., Greist, J. H., Baer, L., et al. (1999). Home self-assessment and self-treatment on obsessive-compulsive disorder using 930 a manual and a computer-conducted telephone interview: Replication of a U. K.-U.931 S. study. *The Journal of Clinical Psychiatry*, 60, 545–549.
- Baer, L., Minichiello, W. E., & Jenike, M. A. (1987). Use of a portable-computer program in behavioral treatment of obsessive-compulsive disorder. *The American Journal of Psychiatry*, 144, 1101.
- Banos, R. M., Botella, C., Perpina, C., Alcaniz, M., Lozano, J. A., Osma, J., et al. (2002). Virtual reality treatment of flying phobia. *IEEE Transactions on Information Technology in Biomedicine*, 6, 206–212.
- Beard, C., & Amir, N. (2008). A multi-session interpretation modification program: Changes in interpretation and social anxiety symptoms. *Behaviour Research and Therapy*, 46, 1135–1141.
- Berger, T., Hohl, E. O., & Caspar, F. (2009). Internet-based treatment for social phobia: A randomized controlled trial. *Journal of Clinical Psychology*, 65, 1021–1035.
- Bergström, J., Andersson, G., Karlsson, A., Andreewitch, S., Ruck, C., Carlbring, P., et al. (2009). An open study of the effectiveness of Internet treatment for panic disorder delivered in a psychiatric setting. *Nordic Journal of Psychiatry*, 63, 44–50.
- Bornas, X., Tortella-Feliu, M., Llabrés, J., & Fullana, M. A. (2001). Computer-assisted exposure treatment for flight phobia: A controlled study. *Psychotherapy Research*, 11, 259–273.
- Bornas, X., Tortella-Feliu, M., Llabrés, J., Mühlberger, A., Pauli, P., & Barceló, F. (2002). Clinical usefulness of a simulated exposure treatment for fear of flying. *International Journal of Clinical and Health Psychology*, 2, 247–262.
- Bornas, X., Tortella-Feliu, M., & Llabrés, J. (2006). Do all treatments work for flight phobia? Computer-assisted exposure versus a brief multicomponent nonexposure treatment. *Psychotherapy Research*, 16, 41–50.
- Botella, C., Guillen, V., García-Palacios, A., Gallejo, M. J., Banos, R. M., & Alcaniz, M. (2007). Telepsychology and self-help: The treatment of fear of public speaking. *Cognitive and Behavioral Practice*, 14, 46–57.
- Botella, C. A., Banos, R. M., Villa, H., Perpina, C., & García Palacios, A. (2000). Virtual reality in the treatment of claustrophobic fear: A controlled, multiple-baseline design. *Behavior Therapy*, 31, 583–595.
- Botella, C. A., Hofmann, S. G., & Moscovitch, D. A. (2004). A self-applied, Internet-based intervention for fear of public speaking. *Journal of Clinical Psychology*, 60, 821–830.
- Botella, C. A., Osma, J., García Palacios, A., Quero, S., & Banos, R. M. (2004). Treatment of flying phobia using virtual reality: Data from a 1-year follow-up using a multiple baseline design. *Clinical Psychology & Psychotherapy*, 11, 311–323.
- Botella, C., Quero, S., Banos, R. M., García-Palacios, A., Breton-Lopez, J., Alcaniz, M., & Fàbregat, S. (2008). Telepsychology and self-help: The treatment of phobias using the Internet. *CyberPsychology & Behavior*, 11, 659. doi:10.1089/cpb.2008.0012.
- Bowers, W., Stuart, S., MacFarlane, R., & Gorman, L. (1993). Use of computer-administered cognitive-behavior therapy with depressed inpatients. *Depression*, 1, 294–299.
- Carlbring, P., Bohman, S., Brunt, S., Buhrman, M., Westling, B. E., Ekselius, L., & Andersson, G. (2006). Remote treatment of panic disorder: A randomized trial of Internet-based cognitive behavioral therapy supplemented with telephone calls. *American Journal of Psychiatry*, 163, 2119–2125. doi:10.1176/appi.ajp.163.12.2119.
- Carlbring, P., Westling, B. E., Ljungström, P., & Andersson, G. (2001). Treatment of panic disorder via the Internet: A randomized trial of a self-help program. *Behavior Therapy*, 32, 751–764.
- Carlbring, P., Ekselius, L., & Andersson, G. (2003). Treatment of panic disorder via the Internet: A randomized trial of CBT vs. applied relaxation. *Journal of Behavior Therapy and Experimental Psychiatry*, 34, 129–140.
- Carlbring, P., Nilsson-Ihrfelt, E., Waara, J., Kollenstam, C., Buhrman, M., Kaldö, V., et al. (2005). Treatment of panic disorder: Live therapy vs. self-help via the Internet. *Behaviour Research and Therapy*, 43, 1321–1333.
- Carlbring, P., Bohman, S., Brunt, S., Buhrman, M., Westling, B. E., Ekselius, L., & Andersson, G. (2006). Remote treatment of panic disorder: A randomized trial of Internet-based cognitive behavioral therapy supplemented with telephone calls. *American Journal of Psychiatry*, 163, 2119–2125.
- Carlbring, P., Furmark, T., Steczko, J., Ekselius, L., & Andersson, G. (2006). An open study of Internet-based bibliotherapy with minimal therapist contact via email for social phobia. *Clinical Psychologist*, 10, 30–38.
- Carlbring, P., Gunnarsdóttir, M., Hedensjö, L., Andersson, G., Ekselius, L., & Furmark, T. (2007). Treatment of social phobia: Randomised trial of Internet-delivered cognitive-behavioural therapy with telephone support. *The British Journal of Psychiatry*, 190, 123–128.
- Carlbring, P., Nordgren, L. B., Furmark, T., & Andersson, G. (2009). Long-term outcome of Internet-delivered cognitive-behavioural therapy for social phobia: A 30-month follow-up. *Behaviour Research and Therapy*, 47, 848–850.
- Carr, A. C., Ghosh, A., & Marks, I. M. (1988). Computer-supervised exposure treatment for phobias. *Canadian Journal of Psychiatry*, 33, 112–117.
- Chambless, D. L., & Ollendick, T. H. (2001). Empirically supported psychological interventions: Controversies and evidence. *Annual Review of Psychology*, 52, 685–716.
- Chandler, G. M., Burck, H. D., & Sampson, J. P. (1986). A generic computer program for systematic desensitization: Description, construction and case study. *Journal of Behavior Therapy and Experimental Psychiatry*, 17, 171–174.
- Chandler, G. M., Burck, H., Sampson, J. P., & Wray, R. (1988). The effectiveness of a generic computer program for systematic desensitization. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 4, 339–346.
- Choi, Y. -H., Vuncelli, F., Riva, G., Wiederhold, B. K., Lee, J. -H., & Park, K. -H. (2005). Effects of group experiential cognitive therapy for the treatment of panic disorder with agoraphobia. *Cyberpsychology & Behavior*, 8, 387–393.
- Christensen, H., Griffiths, K. M., & Jorm, A. F. (2004). Delivering interventions for depression by using the internet: Randomised controlled trial. *British Medical Journal*, 328, 265.
- Christensen, H., Griffiths, K. M., Mackinnon, A. J., & Brittliffe, K. (2006). Online randomized controlled trial of brief and full cognitive behaviour therapy for depression. *Psychological Medicine*, 36, 1737–1746.
- Clark, A., Kirkby, K. C., Daniels, B. A., & Marks, I. M. (1998). A pilot study of computer-aided vicarious exposure for obsessive-compulsive disorder. *The Australian and New Zealand Journal of Psychiatry*, 32, 268–275.
- Clarke, G., Reid, E., Eubanks, D., O'Connor, E., DeBar, L. L., Kelleher, C., et al. (2002). Overcoming Depression on the Internet (ODIN): A randomized controlled trial of an Internet depression skills intervention program. *Journal of Medical Internet Research*, 4, E14.
- Clarke, G., Eubanks, D., Reid, E., Kelleher, C., O'Connor, E., DeBar, L. L., et al. (2005). Overcoming Depression on the Internet (ODIN) (2): A randomized trial of a self-help depression skills program with reminders. *Journal of Medical Internet Research*, 7, e16.
- Coelho, C. M., Santos, J. A., Silvério, J., & Silva, C. F. (2006). Virtual reality and acrophobia: One-year follow-up and case study. *Cyberpsychology & Behavior*, 9, 336–341.
- Côté, S., & Bouchard, S. P. (2005). Documenting the efficacy of virtual reality exposure with psychophysiological and information processing measures. *Applied Psychophysiology and Biofeedback*, 30, 217–232.
- Craske, M. G., Rose, R. D., Lang, A., Welch, S. S., Campbell-Sills, L., Sullivan, G., et al. (2009). Computer-assisted delivery of cognitive behavioral therapy for anxiety disorders in primary-care settings. *Depression and Anxiety*, 26, 235–242.
- Dewis, L. M., Kirkby, K. C., Martin, F., Daniels, B. A., Gilroy, L. J., & Menzies, R. G. (2001). Computer-aided vicarious exposure versus live graded exposure for spider phobia in children. *Journal of Behavior Therapy and Experimental Psychiatry*, 32, 17–27.
- Draper, M., Rees, C. S., & Nathan, P. R. (2008). Internet-based self-management of generalised anxiety disorder: A preliminary study. *Behaviour Change*, 25, 229–244.
- Eisendorfer, C., Czaja, S. J., Loewenstein, D. A., Rubert, M. P., Arguilles, S., Mitran, V. B., et al. (2003). The effect of a family therapy and technology-based intervention on caregiver depression. *The Gerontologist*, 43, 521–531.
- Emmelkamp, P. M. G., Bruynzeel, M., Drost, L., & Van Der Mast, C. A. P. G. (2001). Virtual reality treatment in acrophobia: A comparison with exposure in vivo. *Cyberpsychology & Behavior*, 4, 335–339.
- Emmelkamp, P. M. G., Krijn, M., Hulsbosch, A. M., de Vries, S., Schuemie, M. J., & van der Mast, C. (2002). Virtual reality treatment versus exposure in vivo: A comparative evaluation in acrophobia. *Behaviour Research and Therapy*, 40, 509–516.
- Farvolden, P., Denisoff, E., Selby, P., Bagby, R. M., & Rudy, L. (2005). Usage and longitudinal effectiveness of a web-based self-help cognitive behavioral therapy program for panic disorder. *Journal of Medical Internet Research*, 7, e7.
- Fraser, J., Kirkby, K. C., Daniels, B., Gilroy, L., & Montgomery, I. M. (2001). Three versus six sessions of computer-aided vicarious exposure treatment for spider phobia. *Behaviour Change*, 18, 213–223.

- Garcia-Palacios, A., Hoffman, H., Carlin, A., Furness, T. A., III, & Botella, C. (2002). Virtual reality in the treatment of spider phobia: A controlled study. *Behaviour Research and Therapy*, 40, 983–993.
- Ghosh, A., & Marks, I. M. (1987). Self-treatment of agoraphobia by exposure. *Behavior Therapy*, 18, 3–16.
- Ghosh, A., Marks, I. M., & Carr, A. C. (1988). Therapist contact and outcome of self-exposure treatment for phobias: A controlled study. *The British Journal of Psychiatry*, 152, 234–238.
- Gilroy, L. J., Kirkby, K. C., Daniels, B. A., Menzies, R. G., & Montgomery, I. M. (2000). Controlled comparison of computer-aided vicarious exposure versus live exposure in the treatment of spider phobia. *Behavior Therapy*, 31, 733–744.
- Gilroy, L. J., Kirkby, K. C., Daniels, B. A., Menzies, R. G., & Montgomery, I. M. (2003). Long-term follow-up of computer-aided vicarious exposure versus live graded exposure in the treatment of spider phobia. *Behavior Therapy*, 34, 65–76.
- Glasgow, R. E., & Rosen, G. M. (1978). Behavioral bibliotherapy: A review of self-help behavior therapy manuals. *Psychological Bulletin*, 85, 1–23. doi:10.1037/0033-2909.85.1.1.
- Gorini, A., Gaggioli, A., Vigna, C., & Riva, G. (2008). A second life for eHealth: prospects for the use of 3-D virtual worlds in clinical psychology. *Journal of Medical Internet Research*, 10, e21.
- Greist, J. H., Marks, I. M., Baer, L., Kobak, K. A., Wenzel, K. W., Hirsch, M., et al. (2002). Behavior therapy for obsessive-compulsive disorder guided by a computer or by a clinician compared with relaxation as a control. *The Journal of Clinical Psychiatry*, 63, 138–145.
- Gruber, K., Moran, P. J., Roth, W. T., & Taylor, C. B. (2001). Computer-assisted cognitive behavioral group therapy for social phobia. *Behavior Therapy*, 32, 155–165.
- Harcourt, L., Kirkby, K., Daniels, B., & Montgomery, I. (1998). The differential effect of personality on computer-based treatment of agoraphobia. *Comprehensive Psychiatry*, 39, 303–307.
- Harris, S. R., Kemmerling, R. L., & North, M. M. (2002). Brief virtual reality therapy for public speaking anxiety. *Cyberpsychology & Behavior*, 5, 543–550.
- Hayward, L., MacGregor, A. D., Peck, D. F., & Wilkes, P. (2007). The feasibility and effectiveness of computer-guided CBT (Fearfighter) in a rural area. *Behavioural and Cognitive Psychotherapy*, 35, 409–419.
- Heading, K., Kirkby, K. C., Martin, F., Daniels, B. A., Gilroy, L. J., & Menzies, R. G. (2001). Controlled comparison of single-session treatments for spider phobia: Live graded exposure alone versus computer-aided vicarious exposure. *Behaviour Change*, 18, 103–113.
- Heron, K. E., & Smyth, J. M. (2010). Ecological momentary interventions: Incorporating mobile technology into psychosocial and health behaviour treatments. *British Journal of Health Psychology*, 15, 1–39.
- Hirai, M., & Clum, G. A. (2005). An Internet-based self-change program for traumatic event related fear, distress, and maladaptive coping. *Journal of Traumatic Stress*, 18, 631–636.
- Hoffman, H. G., Garcia Palacios, A., Carlin, A., Furness, T. A., III, & Botella Arbona, C. (2003). Interfaces that heal: Coupling real and virtual objects to treat spider phobia. *International Journal of Human Computer Interaction*, 16, 283–300.
- Kahan, M., Tanzer, J., Darvin, D., & Borer, F. (2000). Virtual reality-assisted cognitive-behavioral treatment for fear of flying: Acute treatment and follow-up. *Cyberpsychology & Behavior*, 3, 387–392.
- Kaltenthaler, E., Shackley, P., Stevens, K., Beverley, C., Parry, G., & Chilcott, J. (2002). A systematic review and economic evaluation of computerised cognitive behaviour therapy for depression and anxiety. *Health Technology Assessment*, 6, 1–89.
- Kaltenthaler, E., Parry, G., & Beverley, C. (2004). Computerized cognitive behaviour therapy: A systematic review. *Behavioural and Cognitive Psychotherapy*, 32, 31–55.
- Kaltenthaler, E., Brazier, J., De Nigris, E., Tumor, I., Ferriter, M., Beverley, C., et al. (2006). Computerised cognitive behaviour therapy for depression and anxiety update: A systematic review and economic evaluation. *Health Technology Assessment*, 10, 1–168.
- Kenardy, J., McCafferty, K., & Rosa, V. (2003). Internet-delivered indicated prevention for anxiety disorders: A randomized controlled trial. *Behavioural and Cognitive Psychotherapy*, 31, 279–289. doi:10.1017/S1352465803003047.
- Kenardy, J., McCafferty, K., & Rosa, V. (2006). Internet-delivered indicated prevention for anxiety disorders: Six-month follow-up. *Clinical Psychologist*, 10, 39–42. doi:10.1080/13284200500378746.
- Kenardy, J. A., Dow, M. G. T., Johnston, D. W., Newman, M. G., Thomson, A., & Taylor, C. B. (2003). A comparison of delivery methods of cognitive-behavioral therapy for panic disorder: An international multicenter trial. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, 71, 1068–1075.
- Kenwright, M., Liness, S., & Marks, I. (2001). Reducing demands on clinicians by offering computer-aided self-help for phobia/panic: Feasibility study. *The British Journal of Psychiatry*, 179, 456–459.
- Kenwright, M., Marks, I. M., Gega, L., & Mataix-Cols, D. (2004). Computer-aided self-help for phobia/panic via Internet at home: A pilot study. *The British Journal of Psychiatry*, 184, 448–449.
- Kiropoulos, L. A., Klein, B., Austin, D. W., Gilson, K., Pier, C., Mitchell, J., et al. (2008). Is Internet-based CBT for panic disorder and agoraphobia as effective as face-to-face CBT? *Journal of Anxiety Disorders*, 22, 1273–1284.
- Klein, B., & Richards, J. C. (2001). A brief Internet-based treatment for panic disorder. *Behavioural and Cognitive Psychotherapy*, 29, 113–117.
- Klein, B., Richards, J. C., & Austin, D. W. (2006). Efficacy of Internet therapy for panic disorder. *Journal of Behavior Therapy and Experimental Psychiatry*, 37, 213–238.
- Klein, B., Shandley, K., Austin, D., & Nordin, S. (2008). A pilot trial of 'Panic Online' as a self-guided treatment for panic disorder. *E-Journal of Applied Psychology*, 4, 25–30.
- Klein, B., Austin, D., Pier, C., Kiropoulos, L., Shandley, K., Mitchell, J., Gilson, K., & Ciechomski, L. (2009). Internet-based treatment for panic disorder: Does frequency of therapist contact make a difference? *Cognitive Behaviour Therapy*, 1–14.
- Klein, B., Mitchell, J., Gilson, K., Shandley, K., Austin, D., Kiropoulos, L., et al. (2009). A therapist-assisted Internet-based CBT intervention for posttraumatic stress disorder: Preliminary results. *Cognitive Behaviour Therapy*, 38, 121–131.
- Klinger, E., Bouchard, S., Legeron, P., Roy, S., Lauer, F., Chemin, I., et al. (2005). Virtual reality therapy versus cognitive behavior therapy for social phobia: A preliminary controlled study. *Cyberpsychology & Behavior*, 8, 76–88.
- Knaevelsrud, C., & Maercker, A. (2007). Internet-based treatment for PTSD reduces distress and facilitates the development of a strong therapeutic alliance: A randomized controlled clinical trial. *BMC Psychiatry*, 7, 13.
- Krijn, M., Emmelkamp, P. M. G., Biemond, R., de Wilde de Ligny, C., Schuemie, M. J., & van der Mast, C. A. P. G. (2004). Treatment of acrophobia in virtual reality: The role of immersion and presence. *Behaviour Research and Therapy*, 42, 229–239.
- Krijn, M., Emmelkamp, P. M. G., Olafsson, R. P., & Biemond, R. (2004). Virtual reality exposure therapy of anxiety disorders: A review. *Clinical Psychology Review*, 24, 259–281.
- Krijn, M., Emmelkamp, P. M. G., Olafsson, R. P., Schuemie, M. J., & Van Der Mast, C. A. P. G. (2007). Do self-statements enhance the effectiveness of virtual reality exposure therapy? A comparative evaluation in acrophobia. *CyberPsychology & Behavior*, 10, 362. doi:10.1089/cpb.2006.9943.
- Lamson, R. (1994). Virtual therapy of anxiety disorders. *CyberEdge Journal*, 4, 1–28.
- Lange, A., Schrieken, B., van de Ven, J. P., Bredeweg, B., Emmelkamp, P. M. G., van der Kolk, J., et al. (2000). "Interapy": The effects of a short protocolled treatment of posttraumatic stress and pathological grief through the Internet. *Behavioural and Cognitive Psychotherapy*, 28, 175–192.
- Lange, A., van de Ven, J. P., Schrieken, B., & Emmelkamp, P. M. G. (2001). Interapy. Treatment of posttraumatic stress through the Internet: A controlled trial. *Journal of Behavior Therapy and Experimental Psychiatry*, 32, 73–90.
- Lange, A., Rietdijk, D., Hudcovicova, M., van de Ven, J. P., Schrieken, B., & Emmelkamp, P. M. G. (2003). Interapy: A controlled randomized trial of the standardized treatment of posttraumatic stress through the Internet. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, 71, 901–909.
- Litz, B. T., Engel, C. C., Bryant, R. A., & Papa, A. (2007). A randomized, controlled proof-of-concept trial of an Internet-based, therapist-assisted self-management treatment for posttraumatic stress disorder. *The American Journal of Psychiatry*, 164, 1676–1683.
- Maltby, N., Kirsch, I., Mayers, M., & Allen, G. J. (2002). Virtual reality exposure therapy for the treatment of fear of flying: A controlled investigation. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, 70, 1112–1118.
- Marks, I. M., Baer, L., Greist, J. H., Park, J. M., Bachofen, M., Nakagawa, A., et al. (1998). Home self-assessment of obsessive-compulsive disorder: Use of a manual and a computer-conducted telephone interview: Two UK-US studies. *The British Journal of Psychiatry*, 172, 406–412.
- Marks, I. M., Kenwright, M., McDonough, M., Whittaker, M., & Mataix Cols, D. (2004). Saving clinicians' time by delegating routine aspects of therapy to a computer: A randomized controlled trial in phobia/panic disorder. *Psychological Medicine*, 34, 9–17.
- Meuret, A. E., Wilhelm, F. H., Ritz, T., & Roth, W. T. (2008). Feedback of end-tidal PCO₂ as a therapeutic approach for panic disorder. *Journal of Psychiatric Research*, 42, 560–568.
- Mouton-Odom, S., Keuthen, N. J., Wagener, P. D., Stanley, M. A., & DeBakey, M. E. (2006). StopPulling.com: An Interactive, Self-Help Program for Trichotillomania. *Cognitive and Behavioral Practice*, 13, 215–226.
- Mühlberger, A., Herrmann, M. J., Wiedemann, G., Ellgring, H., & Pauli, P. (2001). Repeated exposure of flight phobics to flights in virtual reality. *Behaviour Research and Therapy*, 39, 1033–1050.
- Mühlberger, A., Wiedemann, G., & Pauli, P. (2003). Efficacy of a one-session virtual reality exposure treatment for fear of flying. *Psychotherapy Research*, 13, 323–336.
- Mühlberger, A., Weik, A., Pauli, P., & Wiedemann, G. (2006). One-session virtual reality exposure treatment for fear of flying: 1-year follow-up and graduation flight accompaniment effects. *Psychotherapy Research*, 16, 26–40.
- Nakagawa, A., Marks, I. M., Park, J. M., Bachofen, M., Baer, L., Dotti, S. L., et al. (2000). Self-treatment of obsessive-compulsive disorder guided by manual and computer-conducted telephone interview. *Journal of Telemedicine and Telecare*, 6, 22–26.
- Nelissen, L., Muris, P., & Merckelbach, H. (1995). Computerized exposure and in vivo exposure treatments of spider fear in children: Two case reports. *Journal of Behavior Therapy and Experimental Psychiatry*, 26, 153–156.
- Newman, M. G., Kenardy, J., Herman, S., & Taylor, C. B. (1996). The use of hand-held computers as an adjunct to cognitive-behavior therapy. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 12, 135–143.
- Newman, M. G., Consoli, A., & Taylor, C. B. (1997). Computers in assessment and cognitive behavioral treatment of clinical disorders: Anxiety as a case in point. *Behavior Therapy*, 28, 211–235.
- Newman, M. G., Kenardy, J., Herman, S., & Taylor, C. B. (1997). Comparison of palmtop-computer-assisted brief cognitive-behavioral treatment to cognitive-behavioral treatment for panic disorder. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, 65, 178–183.
- Newman, M. G., Consoli, A. J., & Taylor, C. B. (1999). A palmtop computer program for the treatment of generalized anxiety disorder. *Behavior Modification*, 23, 597–619.
- Newman, M. G. (2000). Recommendations for a cost-offset model of psychotherapy allocation using generalized anxiety disorder as an example. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, 68, 549–555.
- Newman, M. G., Erickson, T., Przeworski, A., & Dzus, E. (2003). Self-help and minimal-contact therapies for anxiety disorders: Is human contact necessary for therapeutic efficacy? *Journal of Clinical Psychology*, 59, 251–274.
- North, M. M., North, S. M., & Coble, J. R. (1995). Effectiveness of virtual environment desensitization in the treatment of agoraphobia. *PRESENCE: Teleoperators and Virtual Environments*, 5, 346–352.

- North, M. M., North, S. M., & Coble, J. R. (1997). Virtual reality therapy: An effective treatment for the fear of public speaking. *International Journal of Virtual Reality*, 3, 2–7.
- O'Kearney, R., Gibson, M., Christensen, H., & Griffiths, K. M. (2006). Effects of a cognitive-behavioural Internet program on depression, vulnerability to depression and stigma in adolescent males: A school-based controlled trial. *Cognitive Behaviour Therapy*, 35, 43–54.
- O'Kearney, R., Kang, K., Christensen, H., & Griffiths, K. (2009). A controlled trial of a school-based Internet program for reducing depressive symptoms in adolescent girls. *Depression and Anxiety*, 26, 65–72.
- Osgood-Hynes, D. J., Greist, J. H., Marks, I. M., Baer, L., Heneman, S. W., Wenzel, K. W., et al. (1998). Self-administered psychotherapy for depression using a telephone-accessed computer system plus booklets: An open U.S.–U.K. study. *The Journal of Clinical Psychiatry*, 59, 358–365.
- Pallavicini, F., Algeri, D., Repetto, C., Gorini, A., & Riva, G. (2009). Biofeedback, virtual reality and mobile phones in the treatment of generalized anxiety disorder (GAD): A phase-2 controlled clinical trial. *Journal of CyberTherapy and Rehabilitation*, 2, 315–327.
- Palmer, S., Bor, R., & Josse, J. (2000). A self-help tool kit for conquering fears and anxieties about flying. *Counselling Psychology Review*, 15, 18–29.
- Patten, S. B. (2003). Prevention of depressive symptoms through the use of distance technologies. *Psychiatric Services*, 54, 396–398.
- Proudfoot, J. G. (2004). Computer-based treatment for anxiety and depression: is it feasible? Is it effective? *Neuroscience and Biobehavioral Reviews*, 28, 353–363.
- Przeworski, A., & Newman, M. G. (2004). Palmtop computer-assisted group therapy for social phobia. *Journal of Clinical Psychology*, 60, 179–188.
- Ready, D. J., Pollack, S., Rothbaum, B. O., & Alarcon, R. D. (2006). Virtual reality exposure for veterans with posttraumatic stress disorder. *Journal of Aggression, Maltreatment & Trauma*, 12, 199–220.
- Reiner, R. (2008). Integrating a portable biofeedback device into clinical practice for patients with anxiety disorders: Results of a pilot study. *Applied Psychophysiology and Biofeedback*, 33, 55–61.
- Ressler, K. J., Rothbaum, B. O., Tannenbaum, L., Anderson, P., Graap, K., Zimand, E., et al. (2004). Cognitive enhancers as adjuncts to psychotherapy: Use of d-cycloserine in phobic individuals to facilitate extinction of fear. *Archives of General Psychiatry*, 61, 1136–1144.
- Richards, J. C., & Alvarenga, M. E. (2002). Extension and replication of an Internet-based treatment program for panic disorder. *Cognitive Behaviour Therapy*, 31, 41–47.
- Richards, J. C., Klein, B., & Austin, D. W. (2006). Internet cognitive behavioural therapy for panic disorder: Does the inclusion of stress management information improve end-state functioning? *Clinical Psychologist*, 10, 2–15.
- Robertson, L., Smith, M., Castle, D., & Tannenbaum, D. (2006). Using the Internet to enhance the treatment of depression. *Australasian Psychiatry*, 14, 413–417.
- Rothbaum, B. O., Hodges, L. F., Kooper, R., Opdyke, D., Williford, J. S., & North, M. (1995). Effectiveness of computer-generated (virtual reality) graded exposure in the treatment of acrophobia. *The American Journal of Psychiatry*, 152, 626–628.
- Rothbaum, B. O., Hodges, L. F., Smith, S., Lee, J. H., & Price, L. (2000). A controlled study of virtual reality exposure therapy for the fear of flying. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, 68, 1020–1026.
- Rothbaum, B. O., Hodges, L. F., Ready, D., Graap, K., & Alarcon, R. D. (2001). Virtual reality exposure therapy for Vietnam veterans with posttraumatic stress disorder. *The Journal of Clinical Psychiatry*, 62, 617–622.
- Rothbaum, B. O., Hodges, L. F., Anderson, P. L., Price, L., & Smith, S. (2002). Twelve-month follow-up of virtual reality and standard exposure therapies for the fear of flying. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, 70, 428–432.
- Rothbaum, B. O., Anderson, P., Zimand, E., Hodges, L. F., Lang, D., & Wilson, J. (2006). Virtual reality exposure therapy and standard (in vivo) exposure therapy in the treatment of fear of flying. *Behavior Therapy*, 37, 80–90.
- Schmidt, N. B., Richey, J. A., Buckner, J. D., & Timpano, K. R. (2009). Attention training for generalized social anxiety disorder. *Journal of Abnormal Psychology*, 118, 5–14.
- Schneider, A. J., Mataix-Cols, D., Marks, I. M., & Bachofen, M. (2005). Internet-guided self-help with or without exposure therapy for phobic and panic disorders. *Psychotherapy and Psychosomatics*, 74, 154–164.
- Seligman, M. E. P., Schulman, P., & Tryon, A. M. (2007). Group prevention of depression and anxiety symptoms. *Behaviour Research and Therapy*, 45, 1111–1126.
- Selmi, P. M., Klein, M. H., Greist, J. H., Sorrell, S. P., & Erdman, H. P. (1990). Computer-administered cognitive-behavioral therapy for depression. *The American Journal of Psychiatry*, 147, 51–56.
- Shandley, K., Austin, D. W., Klein, B., Pier, C., Schattner, P., Pierce, D., et al. (2008). Therapist-assisted, Internet-based treatment for panic disorder: can general practitioners achieve comparable patient outcomes to psychologists? *Journal of Medical Internet Research*, 10, e14.
- Shaw, S. C., Marks, I. M., & Toole, S. (1999). Lessons from pilot tests of computer self-help for agoraphobia and panic. *MD Computing*, 16, 44–48.
- Siepmann, M., Aykac, V., Unterdorfer, J., Petrowski, K., & Mueck-Weymann, M. (2008). A pilot study on the effects of heart rate variability biofeedback in patients with depression and in healthy subjects. *Applied Psychophysiology and Biofeedback*, 33, 195–201.
- Smith, K. L., Kirkby, K. C., Montgomery, I. M., & Daniels, B. A. (1997). Computer-delivered modeling of exposure for spider phobia: Relevant versus irrelevant exposure. *Journal of Anxiety Disorders*, 11, 489–497.
- Spek, V., Nyklíček, I., Smits, N., Cuijpers, P., Riper, H., Keyzer, J., et al. (2007). Internet-based cognitive behavioural therapy for subthreshold depression in people over 50 years old: A random controlled clinical trial. *Psychological Medicine*, 37, 1797–1806.
- Spek, V., Cuijpers, P., Nyklíček, I., Smits, N., Riper, H., Keyzer, J., et al. (2008). One-year follow-up results of a randomized controlled clinical trial on Internet-based cognitive behavioural therapy for subthreshold depression in people over 50 years. *Psychological Medicine*, 38, 635–639.
- Strong, P. (2010, July 30). Online therapy, online psychotherapy & online counseling via kype [Web log comment]. Retrieved from <http://www.psychologytoday.com/blog/the-mindfulness-approach/201007/online-therapy-online-psychotherapy-online-counseling-skype>. (2010, Sept. 15).
- Tillfors, M., Carlbring, P., Furmark, T., Lewenhaupt, S., Spak, M., Eriksson, A., et al. (2008). Treating university students with social phobia and public speaking fears: Internet delivered self-help with or without live group exposure sessions. *Depression and Anxiety*, 25, 708–717.
- Titov, N., Andrews, G., & Schwencke, G. (2008a). Shyness 2: Treating social phobia online: Replication and extension. *The Australian and New Zealand Journal of Psychiatry*, 42, 595–605.
- Titov, N., Andrews, G., Schwencke, G., Drobny, J., & Einstein, D. (2008b). Shyness 1: Distance treatment of social phobia over the Internet. *The Australian and New Zealand Journal of Psychiatry*, 42, 585–594.
- Van Voorhees, B. W., Fogel, J., Reinecke, M. A., Gladstone, T., Stuart, S., Gollan, J., et al. (2009). Randomized clinical trial of an Internet-based depression prevention program for adolescents (Project CATCH-IT) in primary care: 12-week outcomes. *Journal of Developmental and Behavioral Pediatrics*, 30, 23–37.
- Vincelli, F., Anolli, L., Bouchard, S., Wiederhold, B. K., Zurloni, V., & Riva, G. (2003). Experiential cognitive therapy in the treatment of panic disorders with agoraphobia: A controlled study. *Cyberpsychology & Behavior*, 6, 321–328.
- Wald, J., & Taylor, S. (2003). Preliminary research on the efficacy of virtual reality exposure therapy to treat driving phobia. *Cyberpsychology & Behavior*, 6, 459–465.
- Walshe, D. G., Lewis, E. J., Kim, S. I., O'Sullivan, K., & Wiederhold, B. K. (2003). Exploring the use of computer games and virtual reality in exposure therapy for fear of driving following a motor vehicle accident. *Cyberpsychology & Behavior*, 6, 329–334.
- Warmerdam, L., van Straten, A., Twisk, J., Riper, H., & Cuijpers, P. (2008). Internet-based treatment for adults with depressive symptoms: Randomized controlled trial. *Journal of Medical Internet Research*, 10, e44.
- White, J., Jones, R., & McGarry, E. (2000). Cognitive behavioural computer therapy for the anxiety disorders: A pilot study. *Journal of Mental Health (UK)*, 9, 505–516.
- Wiederhold, B. K., Jang, D. P., Kim, S. I., & Wiederhold, M. D. (2002). Physiological monitoring as an objective tool in virtual reality therapy. *Cyberpsychology & Behavior*, 5, 77–82.
- Wiederhold, B. K., & Wiederhold, M. D. (2003). Three-year follow-up for virtual reality exposure for fear of flying. *Cyberpsychology & Behavior*, 6, 441–445.
- Wright, J. H., Wright, A. S., Albano, A. M., Basco, M. R., Goldsmith, L. J., Raffield, T., et al. (2005). Computer-assisted cognitive therapy for depression: Maintaining efficacy while reducing therapist time. *The American Journal of Psychiatry*, 162, 1158–1164.
- Yager, J. (2001). E-mail as a therapeutic adjunct in the outpatient treatment of anorexia nervosa: Illustrative case material and discussion of the issues. *The International Journal of Eating Disorders*, 29, 125–138.
- Zucker, T. L., Samuelson, K. W., Muench, F., Greenberg, M. A., & Gevirtz, R. N. (2009). The effects of respiratory sinus arrhythmia biofeedback on heart rate variability and posttraumatic stress disorder symptoms: A pilot study. *Applied Psychophysiology and Biofeedback*, 34, 135–143.